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## Chapter 1: Student/Community Profile and Supporting Data \& Findings

## General Background \& History

Hercules High School co-established in 2001 as a Middle/High School to serve students in $6^{\text {th }}-$ $12^{\text {th }}$ grades. In July 2014, the West Contra Costa Unified School District (WCCUSD) board approved a diversion from the $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ institution to one middle school and one high school with separate administrative, classified, and certificated staff. Hercules High School and Hercules Middle School share the same physical address, structurally connected by an administrative complex and library building. Hercules High School is one of six comprehensive high schools in West Contra Costa Unified School District. Hercules High School serves approximately 900 students in grade 9-12. West Contra Costa Unified School District, established in 1965, covers the cities of El Cerrito, San Pablo, Pinole, Richmond, Hercules, as well as the unincorporated areas of Bayview-Montalvin Manor, El Sobrante, Kensington, North Richmond, and Tara Hills. West Contra Costa Unified School District is a K-12 district comprised of 64 schools, six of which are comprehensive high schools. The district serves approximately 32,000 students in grades K-12. Elementary schools serve K-6 and secondary schools in the district generally serve $7^{\text {th }}$ through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students.

The city of Hercules is located adjacent to Interstate 80 and California Highway 4, twenty-five miles East of San Francisco, 10 miles North of Berkeley and sixty-five miles West of Sacramento. The site of Hercules was originally the California Powder-Works company town in 1881. In 1882, the Hercules Powder Company assumed responsibility for the Hercules site. The small company town manufactured "Hercules Powder" named after the legendary Greek hero known for his strength. The Hercules Powder Company produced explosive black powder, used by Allied Forces in World War I. In 1917, after the U.S. had entered the war, the Hercules plant became the largest producer of TNT in the country. During the 1960s, the plant made the transition from the production of black powder to fertilizer.

Later in 1966, the Pacific Refinery company operated on the shores of Hercules and served as the town's largest employer until its closure in 1995. BioRad a fortune 500 biotech company established in the 1980's is currently the town's largest employer.

The City of Hercules maintains an abundance of open spaces and trails throughout the surrounding landscapes offering dazzling views of the San Pablo Bay from various locations
within the city boundaries. The city of Hercules has grown into a culturally rich community with a population hovering around 25,000 . Hercules has one of the largest populations of Filipino and Asian residents compared to other communities within the West Contra Costa Unified School District. The largest make-up of the community is Filipino and various Asian representing a combined $42.7 \%$ of the population. The Caucasian population represents $28 \%$ of the whole and the African American residents are at $18 \%$.

## Academic Program:

Hercules High School (HHS) offers an academic program designed to meet the needs of every student. Hercules High School offers 72 courses to support students through a progressive transition to post-high school success, whether that be a higher education institution, military or the workforce. The default curriculum at Hercules High School aligns to the A-G requirements. The A-G requirements is a set of required courses that students must complete (with a grade of "C" or better) to be minimally eligible for admission to any University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU). In addition to the core academic subjects, HHS offers a variety of electives that provide opportunities for students to pursue their passions, such as Art, Publications, Jazz Dance, Orchestra, Band, Choir, and Theater. Hercules High School offers two Career Technical Education pathways: Information Technology and Medical Careers. In addition, Hercules offers 15-Advanced Placement (AP) courses that expand over all departments. AP courses are college-level courses that follow curriculum specified by the College Board. AP courses provide opportunity for students to learn and navigate academic expectations with curriculum designed to simulate a collegiate course experience, as well as the potential to earn college credit. Hercules High School operates under a six period day with an additional "A" period. The intent of the "A" period is to allow students to enroll in advanced elective programs, pathways, and the performing arts without conflicting with grade-level courses required for graduation. The majority of students at Hercules High School enroll in six classes with a number of students taking advantage of a seventh class ("A" Period).

School Vision Documents (Vision, Mission and Student Learning Outcomes)

## Vision Statement

Diverse 21st century scholars and leaders, with integrity and academic excellence.

## Mission Statement

All students will successfully demonstrate academic excellence in common core disciplines that enable them to be meaningful and responsible contributors to local, national and global communities.

## School wide Learner Outcomes (SLO's)

The school wide learner outcomes (formerly known as Expected School-wide Learner Outcomes, or ESLR's), represent the most essential things that students should know and be able to do by the time they graduate. Each learner outcome represents not only what students should know, but how they approach learning, and how they relate acquired knowledge to the world around them. Each learner outcome is embedded in all courses and provides a focus and continuity for each student's learning experience.

## Graduates from Hercules High School will be:

1. Critical Thinkers who:
1.1 have mastered fundamentals of language, history, math, science, foreign language, and the arts, and use them effectively to enrich their daily lives
1.2 demonstrate the ability to collect, analyze, and organize resources and information
1.3 effectively evaluate and analyze data from multiple sources to develop solutions to problems
1.4 use logical decision-making processes
1.5 demonstrate the ability to apply decisions or solutions to future situations by making predictions, connections, and/or recommendations
2. Effective Engaged Communicators who:
2.1 organize thoughts and emotions, and express them in oral, written and artistic forms
2.2 listen to, comprehend, and process information
2.3 explore, develop, and demonstrate creative expression in a variety of forms
2.4 read, write, speak, and listen analytically, thoughtfully, and reflectively
2.5 use technology thoughtfully, critically, and appropriately as a tool of communication (e.g. correspondence, display of information, expression of ideas, creative self-expression)
3. Responsible Citizens who:
3.1 adopt and value ethical principles and attitudes (e.g. honesty, integrity, responsibility, compassion) and apply them to their daily lives
3.2 recognize and value their responsibility to society and make positive contributions to their community (e.g. register to vote, care for the environment, drive responsibly, volunteer)
3.3 respect cultural, physical, economic, intellectual, age, gender, religious and sexual diversity
3.4 understand history, government, economics, legal systems and art forms from our own and other countries
3.5 participate in community, social, civic, cultural, and philanthropic services

## Faculty/Staff Demographics:

## Certificated Staff Ethnicity, Gender, and Years of Service

West Contra Costa School District recruits and employs the most qualified credentialed teachers available. Hercules High School currently has 34 certificated teachers on staff, all who have met the credential requirements in accordance with State of California guidelines to be considered highly qualified.

| Average Years <br> Teaching <br> Experience | Average Years of <br> Service | Average <br> Years in <br> District | \# of First <br> Year | of <br> Second <br> Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 12 | 11 | 9 | 6 |
| $2017-18$ | 14 | 12 | 1 | 6 |
| $2018-19$ | 12 | 10 | 8 | 3 |

Source: https://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest

## Teacher Certification and Assignment

In 2019-20 school year we offered 169 sections in core academic classes and we staffed each class with a staff member authorized to teach that particular subject matter.

|  | Career <br> Technical <br> Education | English | Math | Physical <br> Education | Science | Social <br> Science | Sisual <br> Serforming <br> Education | World <br> Arts |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Language |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | Tercules High School |
| :--- |
| Teachers Per <br> Department |
| Total \# of Courses |

Source: PowerSchool Database 09/17/19

## Advance Degrees:

The latest staff education report as reported by the California Department of Education,
Dataquest, displays one staff member who has a doctoral degree, one who has earned National
Board certification, 20 with a Master's Degree and 18 with Baccalaureate degree.

| Certificated Staff Education | Doctorate | National <br> Board <br> Certified | Masters <br> Degree <br> +30 | Master <br> Degree | Baccalaureat <br> Degree +30 | Baccalaureate <br> Degree |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2016-17$ | 1 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 15 |
| $2017-18$ | 1 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 11 |
| $2018-19$ | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 13 |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Average Teaching Experience

The latest staff education report as reported by the California Department of Education,
Dataquest, displays the average years of service in education is 12 , the average years in the district is 10 with 8 first year and 3 second year teachers.

| Average Years Teaching <br> Experience | Average Years of <br> Service | Average <br> Years in <br> District | \# of First <br> Year | \# of <br> Second <br> Year |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2016-17$ | 12 | 11 | 9 | 6 |
| $2017-18$ | 14 | 12 | 1 | 6 |
| $2018-19$ | 12 | 10 | 8 | 3 |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Certificated Staff: Demographic Breakdown

The latest staff education report as reported by the California Department of Education, Dataquest, displays 1 American Indian, 7 Asian, 4 Black/African, 4 Filipino, 2 Hispanic and 22 White teachers.

| Certificated Staff by Ethnicity | American Indian | Asian | Black or African | Filipino | Hispanic | Native <br> Hawaiian or <br> Pacific | Two or More Races | White | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-17 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 26 | 47 |
| 2017-18 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |  | 23 | 41 |
| 2018-19 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  | 22 | 40 |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Pupil Specialized Services Staff

In addition to our classroom teachers, we have two academic counselors, one psychologist, one librarian, 1 health center coordinator, and a speech pathologist assigned to support our students in their area of specialized services.

| Pupil <br> Services <br> Staff | Academic <br> Counselors | Psychologists | Librarian | Health <br> Center | Speech/Language |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Nurse | Sth |
| :--- |
| $2016-17$ |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Classified Staff:

Classified staff consists of all persons employed in full-time or part-time positions that do not require a credential or certificate qualification for employment. We currently employ 20.53 classified positions. All of our classified staff play an integral part of our school environment.

| Classified Staff by Ethnicity 2017-18 | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Filipino | Hispanic /Latino | African America n | White | Two or <br> More <br> Races | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paraprofessionals | 0 | 2.33 | 0 | 0 | 0.87 | 4.87 | 0 |  | 8.07 |
| Office/Clerical | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  | 6 |
| Other Classified | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.94 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6.94 |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## School Community Demographic Data

According to California Basic Educational Data System (CBEDS) data, Hercules High School's enrollment in the Fall of 2016 was 1002. Our current enrollment is 878 . Over the past four years the average enrollment has been 921 students ranging from 1,002 in 2016 to 878 in 2019.


Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Enrollment by Cohort Grade

The table below depicts enrollment by grade level cohorts. In 2016-17, the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade class was at 253 and four years later, that same cohort of students had dropped to 230, a decrease of 23 students. In 2017-18 school year the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade class started off at 225 and two years later that same cohort of students had increased to 230 , an increase of 5 students. The average $9^{\text {th }}$ grade class over the last four years has been 220 and the average $12^{\text {th }}$ grade class within the same period has been 242 , a difference of +22 students.

| Enrollment by Grade | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 9th Grade | 253 | 225 | 185 | 218 | 220 |
| 10th Grade | 231 | 249 | 227 | 200 | 227 |
| 11th Grade | 241 | 221 | 236 | 230 | 232 |
| 12th Grade | 277 | 240 | 219 | 230 | 242 |
| TOTAL ENROLLMENT | 1002 | 935 | 867 | 878 | 921 |

[^0]
## Enrollment by Subgroup

California Department of Education breaks down student enrollment by subgroups, which generally refers to any group of students who share similar characteristics, such as gender identification, racial or ethnic identification, socioeconomic status, physical or learning disabilities, or specific school assigned classifications. The table below depicts the subgroup population break down over the last four years.

| School Enrollment by | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  | $2018-19$ |  | 3 Year Average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Ethnic Trends, 2017-2020

The statistics on race and/or ethnicity reflect the classification categories specified by the State of California. The listed "Asian" category combines students from Taiwan, China, Korea, Vietnam, Japan and India under a single broad umbrella. Hercules High School has an ethnically diverse student body that differs somewhat from the demographic profile of the City of Hercules. The largest make-up of the city of Hercules is various Asian and Filipino subgroups representing a combined $45.5 \%$ of the population. The Caucasian population represents $22 \%$ of the whole, the African American residents are at $18.9 \%$, while the Hispanic population of the city is at $14.6 \%$ of the Hercules community.

The table below depicts the subgroup population over the last 4 years at Hercules High School. The African American population had a high percentage of $26.6 \%$ in 2017-18 and is currently $23.66 \%$. The Asian subgroup is currently at its highest mark over the last four years at $22.74 \%$ of the student body. The Filipino population was the highest percent in 2016-17 with a representation of $24.8 \%$ of the total student body and is currently at $24.11 \%$. The Hispanic
population was the highest in 2017-18 and currently represents $21.71 \%$ of the student body. The white population was at its highest in 2016-17 and currently represents $5.2 \%$ of the student body.

| ETHNIC TRENDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnic Subgroup | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  |
| African American | 262 | 26.30\% | 249 | 26.60\% | 218 | 25.10\% | 207 | 23.66\% |
| Asian | 185 | 18.60\% | 181 | 19.40\% | 187 | 21.60\% | 199 | 22.74\% |
| Filipino | 247 | 24.80\% | 221 | 23.60\% | 199 | 23.00\% | 211 | 24.11\% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 209 | 21.00\% | 209 | 22.40\% | 193 | 22.30\% | 190 | 21.71\% |
| White, Non Hispanic | 68 | 6.80\% | 54 | 5.80\% | 38 | 4.40\% | 46 | 5.26\% |
| TOTAL ENROLLMENT | 996 |  | 935 |  | 867 |  | 875 |  |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/, PowerSchool, 9-12-19

## Ethnic Breakdown, 2019-20

Four ethnic groups represent 20 percent or more of the student body population at Hercules. The Filipino population represents the highest number of students at $24.11 \%$ of the student body. The next highest subgroup is the African American population at $23.66 \%$. The Asian population is at $22.74 \%$ while the Hispanic population represents $21.71 \%$ of the student body.


Source: PowerSchool, 9/14/19

## Enrollment by Home Language Group

The California Education Code contains legal requirements which direct schools to determine the language(s) spoken in the home of each student. This information is essential in order for the school to provide adequate instructional programs and services. The following table presents disaggregated student enrollment by native language or the primary language spoken in the home.

| Home Languages of English Language Learners |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Language Spoken at Home | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ |
| Arabic | 1 | 2 |  |
| Cantonese | 4 | 1 |  |
| Filipino ( Pilipino or Tagalog) | 16 | 12 | 13 |
| Hindi | 1 |  | 2 |
| Indonesian |  |  |  |
| Korean | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lao | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| Mandarin | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Other non-English Languages | 8 | 8 | 12 |
| Portuguese | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| Punjabi | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| Spanish | 41 | 43 | 32 |
| Thai | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Urdu | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vietnamese | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| Total | 97 | 95 | 78 |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/
The table above depicts the wide variety of languages spoken in the homes amongst our English Learners. Spanish and Tagalog are the top two followed by a string of other languages identified by parents as the primary language spoken at home. Students who signify another language besides English as their primary language spoken at home are classified as English Language Learners.

## English Learners Classification

California Department of Education requires a process to identify students in need of English Language support. All students who enroll into WCCUSD complete a home language survey. Families who report a language other than English spoken at home are referred to the Registration, Assessment and Placement (RAP) center. The RAP center assesses each child on the level of English Language Proficiency (ELP) using a brief assessment.

Students who have been determined to lack the English language skills of listening comprehension, speaking, reading, and writing necessary to succeed in the school's regular instructional programs are enrolled in an English Language Learner (EL) program.

The table below identifies the current EL enrollment over the last four years.

| English Language Learner Classifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Academic <br> Year | EL 1 | EL2 | EL3 | EL4 | EL 5 | Total |
| $2019-20$ | 0 | 0 | 14 | 33 | 14 | 61 |
| $2018-19$ | 3 | 4 | 21 | 17 | 22 | 67 |
| $2017-18$ | 7 | 18 | 20 | 34 | 14 | 93 |
| $2016-17$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 71 |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/, PowerSchool Database 9/16/19
Special Note: In the spring of 2019, district secondary education leaders recommended the consolidation of all EL 1 and 2 students from Hercules High School with DeAnza High School's EL 1 and 2 program. This decision was based on our declining enrollment within the EL1 and EL 2 populations.

## Special Education (SPED)

The special education population consists of a variety of programs geared to meet the needs of students with disabilities. Students are placed in the least restrictive environment according to their Individual Educational Plan (IEP). At the beginning of 2019-20 school year the program language used to categorize special education students was changed. Mild Moderate Support Needs (MMSN) has replaced the Non Severely Handicapped (NSH) terminology. MMSN students usually require specialized assistance with more than $50 \%$ of their scheduled academic
program. Extensive Support Needs (ESN) has replaced the Severely Handicapped (SH) terminology. ESN students usually have severe disabilities, which may have been caused by chromosomal abnormalities, postnatal difficulties, gestational (prematurity), mal-development of the brain and or spinal cord, infections, genetic disorders, and/or injuries from accidents.

The third category remains the same, listed as Resource Specialist Program (RSP) has been students generally require specialized assistance less than $50 \%$ of their scheduled academic program. At Hercules High School we currently have 22 students in the NSH program, 63 in RSP and 5 students in SH classes. The SPED population is currently at $10.29 \%$ of the total school enrollment.

| Specialized Academic Instruction |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialized Academic <br> Instruction | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2019-20$ |
| MMSN (NSH) Enrollment | 27 | 31 | 22 |
| RSP Enrollment | 65 | 53 | 63 |
| ESN (SH) Enrollment | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| TOTAL \# SPED STUDENTS | 99 | 88 | 90 |
| TOTAL \# STUDENTS | 935 | 867 | 875 |
| \% SPED POPULATION | $10.59 \%$ | $10.15 \%$ | $10.29 \%$ |

[^1]
## Student Achievement Data:

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP)
CAASPP includes an array of assessments that currently include the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC), California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), the California Science Test (CAST), and the California Spanish Assessment (CSA) for native Spanish speaking students.

The SBAC, administered each spring to all $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students, includes two types of exams, Computer Adaptive Tests (CAT) and Performance Task (PT). The format of the CAT portion matches student ability and test question difficulty by altering the questions based on previous responses within the test battery.

## SBAC, 2019 Overall ELA Scores

The English Language Arts (ELA) section of the SBAC measures the level at which students have achieved standard and demonstrates the knowledge and skills in English language arts/literacy needed for likely success in entry level, credit bearing college coursework after high school. As outlined in the table below, $59.91 \%$ of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students in 2019 scored in the Met or Exceeded Standard range. $20.28 \%$ scored in the Standard Nearly Met range, and $19.81 \%$ scored in the Standard Not Met range for ELA.

| Hercules High School | Standard <br> 2019 ELA Overall Scores | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 1 \%}$ |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: Percent <br> of students at each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Reading | $32.55 \%$ | $41.04 \%$ | $26.42 \%$ |  |
| Writing | $41.04 \%$ | $39.62 \%$ | $19.34 \%$ |  |
| Listening | $26.89 \%$ | $58.49 \%$ | $14.62 \%$ |  |
| Research/Inquiry | $27.83 \%$ | $50.47 \%$ | $21.70 \%$ |  |

Source: caaspp.cde.gov/

The Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) has created a hierarchy comprised of claims and targets, which together, make statements pertaining to specific areas of student achievement. Each claim is a summary statement about the knowledge and skill students demonstrate on the assessment related to a particular aspect of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for English Language Arts. Within each claim are a variety of assessment targets that further clarify the knowledge and specific skills that cross over a cluster of ELA standards.

## ELA Claims Performance Results, 2019:

Reading: Students can read closely and analytically to comprehend a range of increasingly complex literary and informational text.

- 73.59\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Writing: Students can produce effective and well-grounded writing for a range of purposes and audiences

- 80.66\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Listening: Students can employ effective speaking and listening skills for a range of purposes and audiences.

- $\mathbf{8 5 . 3 8 \%}$ "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Research/Inquiry: Students can engage in research and inquiry to investigate topics and to analyze, integrate and present information.

- $\mathbf{7 8 . 3 0 \%}$ "Above/At or near" standard performance range.


## SBAC, 2019 Overall Math Scores

The Math section of the SBAC measures the level at which students have achieved standard and demonstrates the knowledge and skills in mathematics needed for likely success in entry level, credit bearing college coursework after high school. As outlined in the table below, $38.38 \%$ of $11^{\text {th }}$ grades students in 2019 scored in the Exceeded/Met Standard range. 20.85\% nearly met standard and $40.76 \%$ did not meet standard in Math.

| Hercules High School <br> 2019 Math Overall <br> Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 7 6 \%}$ |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: Percent <br> of students at each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Concepts \& Procedures | $27.49 \%$ | $22.75 \%$ | $49.76 \%$ |  |
| Problem Solving | $18.01 \%$ | $46.45 \%$ | $35.55 \%$ |  |
| Communication/Reasoning | $20.85 \%$ | $44.08 \%$ | $35.07 \%$ |  |

Source: caaspp.cde.gov/

The Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC) has created a hierarchy comprised of claims and targets, which together, make statements pertaining to specific areas of student achievement. Each claim is a summary statement about the knowledge and skill students demonstrate on the assessment related to a particular aspect of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for mathematics. Within each claim are a variety of assessment targets that further clarify the knowledge and specific skills that cross over a cluster of standards.

## Math Performance Claims, 2019:

Concepts and Procedures: Students can explain and apply mathematical concepts and carry out mathematical procedures with precision and fluency.

## - 50.24\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Problem Solving \& Modeling Data: Students can solve a range of well-posed problems in pure and applied mathematics, making productive use of knowledge and problem-solving strategies. Students can analyze complex, real-world scenarios and can construct and use mathematical models to interpret and solve problems.

- 64.46\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Communicating Reasoning: Students clearly and precisely construct viable arguments to support their own reasoning and to critique the reasoning of others.

- $64.93 \%$ "Above/At or near" standard performance range.


## SBAC English Language Arts: 2019-2018 Comparison:

In 2019, $59.91 \%$ of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students scored in the "Met/Exceeded" range with $20.28 \%$ in the "Standard Nearly Met" and $19.81 \%$ in the "Standard Not Met". In 2018, 61.79\% of our 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
grade students scored in the "Met/Exceeded" range with $21.70 \%$ in the nearly Standard Nearly Met" and $16.51 \%$ in the "Standard Not Met". The percentage change in Met/Exceed range from 2018 to 2019 was a decrease of $1.88 \%$.

When comparing our $2019 \mathrm{Met} / E x c e e d e d$ scores with the county and state we are $-2.66 \%$ and $+2.64 \%$ points respectively. The table below depicts school, county and state comparisons in ELA SBAC scores.

| Hercules High <br> School, 2019 ELA <br> Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly <br> Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at <br> each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Reading | $32.55 \%$ | $41.04 \%$ | $26.42 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\mathbf { 1 9 . 8 1 \% }}$.


| Hercules High <br> School, 2018 ELA <br> Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly <br> Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at <br> each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Reading | $33.49 \%$ | $48.11 \%$ | $18.40 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\mathbf { 1 6 . 5 1 \% }}$.

Source: http://caaspp.cde.ca.gov/sb2019

## ELA Claims Performance Results, 2017-18:

Reading: The level at which students demonstrate a thorough ability to read closely and analytically to understand a range of informational and literary of high complexity.

- 2019: 73.59\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: 81.59\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Writing: The level at which students demonstrate a thorough ability to produce a wellorganized, developed and supported writing for different purposes and audiences.

- 2019: 80.66\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: 78.78\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Listening: The level at which student demonstrates a thorough ability to use effective listening skills for a range of purposes and audiences.

- 2019: 85.38\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: $\mathbf{8 5 . 3 7 \%}$ "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Research/Inquiry: The level at which students demonstrate a thorough ability to engage in research and inquiry to investigate topics and to analyze, integrate and present information.

- 2019: 78.3\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: 84.43\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.


## SBAC Math: 2019-2018 Comparison

In 2019, $38.38 \%$ of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students scored in the "Met/Exceeded" range with $20.85 \%$ in the nearly "Standard Nearly Met" and $40.76 \%$ in the "Standard Not Met". In 2018, 26.76\% of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students scored in the "Met/Exceeded" range with $25.35 \%$ in the "Standard Nearly Met" and $47.89 \%$ in the "Standard Not Met". The percentage change in Met/Exceeded range from 2018 to 2019 was an increase of $11.62 \%$.

When comparing our $2019 \mathrm{Met} / E x c e e d e d$ scores with the county and state we are $-2.19 \%$ and $+6.56 \%$ points respectively. The table below depicts school, county and state comparisons in ELA SBAC scores.

| Hercules High School, 2019 Math Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.74\% | 24.64\% | 20.85\% | 40.76\% |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Concepts \& Procedures | 27.49\% | 22.75\% | 49.76\% |  |
|  <br> Modeling Data <br>  <br> Reasoning | 18.01\% | 46.45\% | 35.55\% |  |
|  | 20.85\% | 44.08\% | 35.07\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Contra Costa County, 2019 Math Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
|  | 19.64\% | 20.93\% | 20.67\% | 38.75\% |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Concepts \& Procedures | 29.35\% | 25.25\% | 45.40\% |  |
| Problem Solving \& Modeling Data | 22.86\% | 42.50\% | 34.64\% |  |
| Communicating \& Reasoning | 23.66\% | 48.18\% | 28.16\% |  |
| State of California, 2019 Math Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
|  | 13.85\% | 18.39\% | 22.28\% | 45.48\% |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Concepts \& Procedures | 22.33\% | 24.83\% | 52.83\% |  |
| Problem Solving \& Modeling Data | 16.73\% | 43.83\% | 39.43\% |  |
| Communicating \& Reasoning | 17.16\% | 50.38\% | 32.46\% |  |


| Hercules High | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard Not Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Scores | 7.51\% | 19.25\% | 25.35\% | 47.89\% |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Concepts \& Procedures | 14.08\% | 24.88\% | 61.03\% |  |
| Problem Solving \& Modeling Data | 12.68\% | 48.36\% | 38.97\% |  |
| Communicating \& Reasoning | 10.33\% | 60.09\% | 29.58\% |  |


| Contra Costa <br> County, 2018 Math <br> Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 8 0 \%}$ |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at <br> each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
|  <br> Procedures | $27.90 \%$ | $25.81 \%$ | $46.29 \%$ |  |
|  <br> Modeling Data | $21.31 \%$ | $41.10 \%$ | $37.59 \%$ |  |
|  <br> Reasoning | $22.89 \%$ | $49.83 \%$ | $27.28 \%$ |  |


| State of California, <br> 2018 Math Overall <br> Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 1 7 \%}$ |
| CLAIMS <br> PERFORMANCE: <br> Percent of students at <br> each level | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
|  <br> Procedures | $21.65 \%$ | $25.30 \%$ | $53.06 \%$ |  |
|  <br> Modeling Data | $15.85 \%$ | $41.95 \%$ | $42.20 \%$ |  |
|  <br> Reasoning | $16.66 \%$ | $51.78 \%$ | $31.55 \%$ |  |

## Source: http://caaspp.cde.ca.gov/sb2019

## Math Performance Claims:

Concepts and Procedures: The level at which students demonstrate a thorough ability to consistently explain and apply mathematical concepts. In addition, this claim measures the level at which students can interpret and carry out mathematical procedures with ease and accuracy.

- 2019: 50.24\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: 38.96\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Problem Solving \& Modeling Data: The level at which students demonstrate a thorough ability to consistently solve a variety of well posed mathematical problems by applying his or her knowledge of problem solving skills and strategies. In addition, this claim measures the level at which students can analyze real world problems and build and use mathematical models to interpret and solve problems.

- 2019: 64.46\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: 61.04\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

Communicating Reasoning: The level at which a student demonstrates a thorough ability to clearly and precisely put together valid arguments to support his or her own mathematical thinking or to critique the reasoning of others.

- 2019: 64.93\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.
- 2018: 70.42\% "Above/At or near" standard performance range.

SBAC Trends: Comparison County/State

| SBAC ELA <br> Met/Exceed Standards | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Four Year <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hercules High School | $64.00 \%$ | $60.87 \%$ | $61.79 \%$ | $59.91 \%$ | $61.64 \%$ |
| Contra Costa County | $63.00 \%$ | $62.98 \%$ | $59.93 \%$ | $62.57 \%$ | $62.12 \%$ |
| State of California | $59.00 \%$ | $59.76 \%$ | $56.69 \%$ | $57.27 \%$ | $58.18 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBAC Math <br> Met/Exceed Standards | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Four Year <br> Average |
| Hercules High School | $32.00 \%$ | $27.82 \%$ | $26.76 \%$ | $38.38 \%$ | $29.61 \%$ |
| Contra Costa County | $41.00 \%$ | $39.03 \%$ | $38.66 \%$ | $40.57 \%$ | $39.82 \%$ |
| State of California | $33.00 \%$ | $22.14 \%$ | $31.37 \%$ | $39.73 \%$ | $31.56 \%$ |

Source: caspp.ced.ca.gov

## SBAC Subgroup Performance: ELA

| SBAC ELA Met/Exceed <br> Standards | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Four Year <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $35.00 \%$ | $33.34 \%$ | $40.67 \%$ | $45.46 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 6 2 \%}$ |
| Asian | $89.00 \%$ | $77.08 \%$ | $71.80 \%$ | $64.29 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 5 4 \%}$ |
| Filipino | $77.00 \%$ | $80.00 \%$ | $80.00 \%$ | $70.83 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 9 6 \%}$ |
| Hispanic | $41.00 \%$ | $54.90 \%$ | $55.56 \%$ | $52.17 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 9 1 \%}$ |
| White | $74.00 \%$ | $61.11 \%$ | N/A | $58.33 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 7 . 5 6 \%}$ |

Source: caspp.ced.ca.gov

## SBAC Subgroup Performance: Math

| SBAC Math Met/Exceed <br> Standards | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Four Year <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | $13.00 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ | $35.00 \%$ | $24.44 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 8 9 \%}$ |
| Asian | $61.00 \%$ | $52.08 \%$ | $46.15 \%$ | $54.54 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| Filipino | $34.00 \%$ | $30.91 \%$ | $41.82 \%$ | $52.08 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 7 0 \%}$ |
| Hispanic | $19.00 \%$ | $41.18 \%$ | $10.87 \%$ | $17.39 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1 1 \%}$ |
| White | $47.00 \%$ | $38.89 \%$ | N/A | $36.36 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 9 5 \%}$ |

Source: caspp.ced.ca.gov

## California Science Test (CAST): 2019 Results

The California Department of Education released test score results from the California Science Test in January 2020. This was the first year of testing based on the Next Generation Science Standards, which were state adopted in 2013. Similar to other CAASPP testing, student scores are categorized in four achievement levels that indicate whether a student has exceeded, met, nearly met, or did not meet the standards. In 2019, we administered the test to all $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students.

The table below depicts results for the 2019 CAST assessment, which included $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade.

| Hercules High School <br> 2019 CAST Overall <br> Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4 . 0 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 2 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 t h ~ G r a d e ~}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 8 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 2 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 t h}$ Grade | $\mathbf{7 . 2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 4 1 \%}$ |
| DOMAINS: Detailed look at <br> students performance on the <br> Overall assessment | Above <br> Standard | At/Near <br> Standard | Below <br> Standard |  |
| Life Science | $12.44 \%$ | $56.58 \%$ | $30.88 \%$ |  |
| Physical Science | $8.29 \%$ | $47.00 \%$ | $44.70 \%$ |  |
| Earth and Space Science | $10.60 \%$ | $47.93 \%$ | $41.47 \%$ |  |

Source: caspp.ced.ca.gov

## CAST: Subgroup Performance

The subgroup break down of the CAST depicts the Hispanic group at 15.73 Exceeded/Met standard. The African American subgroup achieved 13.13 percent in the Exceeded/Met range. The Asian subgroup achieved $38.75 \%$ in the Exceeded/Met range. The Filipino subgroup achieved 33\% in the Exceeded/Met range. The White subgroup achieved $31.82 \%$ in the Exceeded/Met Range.

| Hercules High School <br> 2019 CAST Overall Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly <br> Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{4 . 0 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 7 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 2 \%}$ |
| African American | $3.03 \%$ | $10.10 \%$ | $55.56 \%$ | $31.31 \%$ |
| Asian | $6.12 \%$ | $31.63 \%$ | $48.98 \%$ | $13.27 \%$ |
| Filipino | $5.00 \%$ | $28.00 \%$ | $58.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $1.12 \%$ | $14.61 \%$ | $60.67 \%$ | $23.60 \%$ |
| White | $4.55 \%$ | $27.27 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $18.18 \%$ |

Source: caspp.ced.ca.gov

We plan to administer the CAST to all $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students for the 2020 testing.

## College Board: PSAT/SAT <br> Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test/National Merit Scholarship Qualifying Test (PSAT/NMSQT)

College Board provides assessments that focus on college and career readiness benchmarks. These benchmarks are set at the section level (Math, Evidence-Based Reading and Writing) for each assessment and represents increasing levels of achievements as students' progress through each grade level. The benchmark scores represent the ability for a student to pass a first semester credit bearing college course in that related subject. The combined percent of students meeting or exceeding benchmark standards is interpreted as "college ready". The measure "college ready" is to signify that students who are meeting or exceeding benchmarks would "be ready" for entrylevel college coursework.

West Contra Costa Unified School District provides the opportunity for all $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students to participate in the Preliminary Scholastic Aptitude Test (PSAT). The PSAT/SAT report students score in comparison to all other test takers in the same period. PSAT results predicts what a student would have scored on the SAT, had they taken the SAT on the same day. The PSAT has two sections: Math and Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (ERW). Each section is scored on a scale of 160 to 760 . Total scores for the PSAT 10/11 range from 320-1520.

## PSAT, 2019 Results:

The table below depicts the PSAT scores for $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students with comparison scores for the state and nation. It is important to note that all $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students participated in the PSAT at Hercules High School, while state and national scores may reflect students who selfselect to participate in the PSAT. The two scores listed are math and Evidence Based Reading and Writing (ERW).

|  | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN <br> TOTAL <br> SCORE | Percent Met <br> ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math | Students <br> Tested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PSAT, Fall 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: College Board, https://k12reports.collegeboard.org/
In 2019, we tested $17210^{\text {th }}$ grade students. $22 \%$ of $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both ERW and math benchmarks. $54 \%$ of our $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students met ERW while $22 \%$ met the math benchmark.

In 2019, we tested $19111^{\text {th }}$ grade students. $21 \%$ of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both ERW and math benchmarks. $59 \%$ of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students met ERW while $21 \%$ met the math benchmark.

## PSAT, 2018 Results

The table below depicts the PSAT scores for $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students with comparison scores for the state and nation. It is important to note that all $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students participated in the PSAT at Hercules High School, while state and national scores may reflect students who selfselect to participate in the PSAT. The two scores listed are math and Evidence Based Reading and Writing (ERW).

| PSAT, Fall 2018 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN TOTAL SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math | \# of <br> Students <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10th Grade(Hercules) | 24\% | 875 | 59\% | 453 | 24\% | 422 | 204 |
| 10th Grade(State) | 32\% | 890 | 54\% | 448 | 34\% | 442 | 268,924 |
| 10th Grade(National) | 40\% | 932 | 63\% | 470 | 43\% | 462 | 1,862,142 |
| 11th GradeHercules) | 38\% | 975 | 67\% | 505 | 39\% | 470 | 199 |
| 11th Grade(State) | 34\% | 969 | 60\% | 489 | 36\% | 479 | 232,064 |
| 11th Grade(National) | 43\% | 1013 | 69\% | 512 | 45\% | 501 | 1,746,161 |

Source: College Board, https://k12reports.collegeboard.org/

In 2018, we tested $20410^{\text {th }}$ grade students. $24 \%$ of $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both ERW and math benchmarks. $59 \%$ of our $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students met ERW while $24 \%$ met the math benchmark.

In 2018, we tested $19911^{\text {th }}$ grade students. $38 \%$ of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both ERW and math benchmarks. $67 \%$ of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students met ERW while $39 \%$ met the math benchmark.

## PSAT, 2017 Results

The table below depicts the PSAT scores for $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students with comparison scores for the state and nation. It is important to note that all $10^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students participated in the PSAT at Hercules High School, while state and national scores may reflect students who selfselect to participate in the PSAT. The two scores listed are math and Evidence Based Reading and Writing (ERW).

|  | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN TOTAL <br> SCORE | Percent Met <br> ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence Based <br>  <br> Writing (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean Math | \# of <br> Students <br> Tested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PSAT, Fall 2017 | 899 | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | 459 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 440 | 226 |  |
| 10th Grade(Hercules) | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | 892 | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | 448 | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | 443 | 263,103 |
| 10th Grade(State) | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | 933 | $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ | 471 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | 462 |
| 10th Grade(National) | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11th GradeHercules) | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | 927 | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | 470 | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | 457 |
| 11th Grade(State) | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | 970 | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | 490 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 481 | 227,297 |
| 11th Grade(National) | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | 1014 | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ | 512 | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | 502 | $1,796,839$ |

Source: College Board, https://k12reports.collegeboard.org/

In 2017, we tested $22610^{\text {th }}$ grade students. $34 \%$ of $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both ERW and math benchmarks. $61 \%$ of our $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students met ERW while $36 \%$ met the math benchmark.

In 2017, we tested $20411^{\text {th }}$ grade students. $25 \%$ of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both ERW and math benchmarks. $53 \%$ of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students met ERW while $27 \%$ met the math benchmark.

## PSAT Trends:

Over the last three years, the percent of $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students meeting both benchmarks has averaged $28 \%$ meeting both benchmarks (ERW/Math). Within the same time-period, our $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students have averaged $26.6 \%$ meeting both benchmarks.

| PSAT/SAT, Fall 2019 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN <br> TOTAL <br> SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class of 2022 (10th) | 22\% | 873 | 54\% | 450 | 22\% | 424 |
| Class of 2021 (11th) | 21\% | 923 | 59\% | 475 | 21\% | 448 |
| PSAT/SAT, Fall 2018 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN TOTAL SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br> Reading \& Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| Class of 2021 (10th) | 24\% | 875 | 59\% | 453 | 24\% | 422 |
| Class of 2020 (11th) | 38\% | 975 | $67 \%$ | 505 | 39\% | 470 |
| PSAT/SAT, Fall 2017 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN <br> TOTAL <br> SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| Class of 2020 (10th) | 34\% | 899 | $61 \%$ | 459 | 36\% | 440 |
| Class of 2019 (11th) | 25\% | 927 | 53\% | 470 | 27\% | 457 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: College Board, https://k12reports.collegeboard.org/

## Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results

In March of 2016, the SAT officially launched its new format on a 400-1600 scale. The revised format modified the Evidence-Based Reading and Writing section to a 200-800 point scale. The scoring format for the math section is also on a 200-800 scale. SAT scores compare individual performance with the performance of other students who take the test within the same year. The SAT is not designed as an indicator of student achievement, but rather as an aid for predicting how well students perform in college.

The total combined score on the SAT is the sum of the Evidence-Base Reading and Writing section and the math section. The total score is a combination of each section score: Evidence

Based Writing/Math. The essay score is broken down into three measures: Reading, Analysis and Writing. The score range is two (2) through eight (8).

Each fall, WCCUSD collaborates up with College Board to provide an SAT School Day. On the determined SAT School Day, the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) is given to all $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students at no cost.

## Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results, Fall 2019

According to the 2019 results, assessment date of October 2019, our students taking the SAT scored 71 points above the state and nation in the total mean score range. The class of 2020 received a mean total score of 1006, an ERW score of 511 and a math score of 495. Thirty-eight percent of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both benchmarks, $60 \%$ met the ERW and $41 \%$ met the math benchmarks.
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## Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results, Fall 2018

According to the 2018 results, assessment date of October 2018, our students taking the SAT scored 45 points above the state and 52 points above the national in the total mean score range. The class of 2019 received a mean total score of 989, an ERW score of 502 and a math score of 487. Thirty-five percent of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both benchmarks, $57 \%$ met the ERW and $38 \%$ met the math benchmarks.

|  |  |  |  | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Scholastic Aptitude <br> Test (SAT) Results, <br> 2018 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN <br> TOTAL <br> SCORE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Percent Met |
| :--- |
| Math |
| ERW |
| Benchmarks |, | Mriting |
| :--- |
| (ERW) |
| Benchmarks | Math | Mean |
| :--- |
| 12th Grade (Hercules) |
| 12th Grade (State) |
| 12th Grade (National) |

Source: College Board, https://k12reports.collegeboard.org/

Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results, Fall 2017
According to the 2017 results, assessment date of October 2017, our students taking the SAT scored 63 points above the state and 73 points above the national in the total mean score range. The class of 2018 received a mean total score of 1009, an ERW score of 512 and a math score of 497. Thirty-one percent of $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students met both benchmarks, $66 \%$ met the ERW and $36 \%$ met the math benchmarks.

| Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results, 2017 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN TOTAL SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence Based <br>  <br> Writing (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12th Grade (Hercules) | 31\% | 1009 | 66\% | 512 | 36\% | 497 |
| 12th Grade (State) | 24\% | 946 | 47\% | 480 | 26\% | 466 |
| 12th Grade (National) | 22\% | 936 | 45\% | 476 | 24\% | 460 |

Source: College Board, https://k12reports.collegeboard.org/

## SAT Writing Section Sub-scores, 2018

In 2016, the College Board revised the SAT to make the essay more academically defensible, while also making it optional. All students take part in the SAT w/essay during the school wide college day. The essay score is not part of the 400-1600 overall score.

The SAT Essay receives a 2-8 score in three dimensions: reading, analysis, and writing. The scores represent the sum of two readers 1-4 rating in each dimension.

Reading: This component of the essay focusses on demonstrated comprehension of the passage, its main ideas, and its important details.

Analysis: This component of the essay focusses on demonstrated understanding of the analytical task, and effective analysis of the authors use of evidence, reasoning, and/or stylistic or persuasive elements.

Writing: This component of the essay focusses on communication of information and ideas in a structured, cohesive manner, using precise language and a variety of sentence structures and showing a command of the conventions of standard written English.

The table below outlines the SAT writing scores of our $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students over the last three years.

| SAT Writing Section <br> Sub-scores, 2019 | Mean Reading <br> Score | Mean Analysis <br> Score | Mean Writing <br> Score |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 12th Grade (Hercules) | 4 | 3 | 5 |  |
| 12th Grade (State) | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 12th Grade (National) | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAT Writing Section <br> Sub-scores, 2018 | Mean Reading <br> Score | Mean Analysis <br> Score | Mean Writing <br> Score |  |
| 12th Grade (Hercules) | 4 | 3 | 5 |  |
| 12th Grade (State) | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 12th Grade (National) | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| SAT Writing Section <br> Sub-scores, 2017 | Mean Reading <br> Score | Mean Analysis <br> Score | Mean Writing <br> Score |  |
| 12th Grade (Hercules) | 5 | 3 | 5 |  |
| 12th Grade (State) | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 12th Grade (National) | 4 | 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: https://www.collegeboard.org/

## SAT 3 Year Average

| SAT Mean Scores Per Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | Mean Total <br> Score | \% Met Both <br> Benchmarks | Mean ERW | \% Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Mean Math | \% Met Math <br> Benchmarks |  |
| October, 2019 | 1006 | $38 \%$ | 511 | $60 \%$ | 495 | $41 \%$ |  |
| October, 2018 | 989 | $35 \%$ | 502 | $57 \%$ | 487 | $38 \%$ |  |
| October, 2017 | 1009 | $31 \%$ | 512 | $66 \%$ | 497 | $36 \%$ |  |
| October, 2016 | 1012 | $40 \%$ | 512 | $65 \%$ | 500 | $38 \%$ |  |
| 4 Year Average | 1004 | $36 \%$ | 509 | $62 \%$ | 495 | $38 \%$ |  |

Source: https://www.collegeboard.org/
The table above reviews the last four years of SAT scores, recorded from the school October assessment date. The percent of students meeting both benchmarks have ranged from $40 \%$ to $31 \%$ with a 4 -year average of $36 \%$. The percent of students meeting ERW benchmarks have ranged from $66 \%$ to $57 \%$ with a 4 -year average of $62 \%$. The percent of students meeting math benchmarks have ranged from $41 \%$ to $36 \%$ with a 4 -year average of $38 \%$.

## Advanced Placement (AP) Test Results

The College Board, an organization that oversees the AP assessments, provides testing opportunities for students enrolled in AP courses during the month of May. Each exam consists of a combination of multiple-choice, free-response, essay and/or short answer questions. The exams are scored on a scale of 1 to 5 , with a score of five being the highest. If a student scores a three or higher on the AP Exam, they may receive college credit, as a result of passing the exam.

## AP Exam Success Rates, 2019 - Course Specific

The table below outlines the AP scores by course and provides a detail look into the performance of students enrolled in each course for the 2018-19 school year. The total number of AP exams taken were 421 and 144 of those exams received a score of three of higher. The average number of students scoring $3+$ or above in all AP courses offered was $34.20 \%$. The following chart depicts AP pass rates within each subject area for the spring, 2019 exams.

| AP SCHOOL SUMMARY, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCORE | Eng <br> Lang <br> Comp | Eng Lit Comp | Hum Geog | Pysch | US Gov <br> Pol | US <br> History | World <br> History | Studio <br> Art 2D | Computer <br> Science |
| 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 10 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 31 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 33 | 28 | 0 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 72 | 27 | 0 | 23 | 26 | 80 | 68 | 1 | 11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \% 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | 38.89\% | 29.63\% | \#DIV/0! | 47.83\% | 15.38\% | 37.50\% | 20.59\% | 100.00\% | 54.55\% |
| AP SCHOOL SUMMARY, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCORE | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Calc} \\ & \mathrm{AB} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Calc <br> BC | Stat | Biology | Env <br> Science | Chem | Physics | French | Span Lang |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| \%3 or above | 12.20\% | 31.82\% | \#DIV/0! | 65.52\% | 47.06\% | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | \#DIV/0! | 100.00\% |
| Total \# of AP Students |  |  |  | 262 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \# of AP Seats |  |  |  | 506 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \# of Exams |  |  |  | 421 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Scoring 3 or more |  |  |  | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Percent Scoring $3+$ |  |  |  | 34.20\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: https://www.collegeboard.org/

## AP Exam Success Rates, 2018 - Course Specific

The table below outlines the AP scores by course and provide detail into the performance of students enrolled in each course for the 2017-18 school year. The total number of AP exams taken were 603 and 144 of those exams received a score of three or higher. The average number of students scoring $3+$ or above in all AP courses offered was $23.88 \%$. The following chart depicts AP pass rates within each subject area for the spring, 2018 exams.

| AP SCHOOL SUMMARY, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCORE | Eng <br> Lang <br> Comp | Eng Lit <br> Comp | Hum Geog | Pysch | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US Gov } \\ & \text { Pol } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | US <br> History | World <br> History | Studio <br> Art 2D | Computer <br> Science |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| 3 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 3 | 6 |
| 2 | 30 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 35 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 39 | 6 | 34 | 43 | 57 | 47 | 18 | 0 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 90 | 13 | 53 | 58 | 73 | 74 | 83 | 9 | 18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \% 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | 23.33\% | 23.08\% | 22.64\% | 8.62\% | 9.59\% | 16.22\% | 36.14\% | 88.89\% | 61.11\% |
| AP SCHOOL SUMMARY, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCORE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Calc } \\ \mathrm{AB} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Calc } \\ \mathrm{BC} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Stat | Biology | Env <br> Science | Chem | Physics | French | Span Lang |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 17 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 25 | 3 | 41 | 17 | 19 | 28 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \% 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | 24.00\% | 33.33\% | 9.76\% | 35.29\% | 36.84\% | 14.29\% | 50.00\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Total \# of AP Students |  |  |  | 409 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \# of AP Seats |  |  |  | 683 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \# of Exams |  |  |  | 603 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Scoring 3 or more |  |  |  | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Percent Scoring $3+$ |  |  |  | 23.88\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: https://www.collegeboard.org/

## AP Exam Success Rates, 2017 - Course Specific

The table below outlines the AP scores by course and provide details into the performance of students enrolled in each course for the 2016-17 school year. The total number of AP exams taken were 753 and 170 of those exams received a score of three of higher. The average number of students scoring $3+$ or above in all AP courses offered was $22.58 \%$. The following chart depicts AP pass rates within each subject area for the spring, 2017 exams.

| AP SCHOOL SUMMARY, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCORE | Eng <br> Lang <br> Comp | Eng Lit Comp | Hum Geog | Pysch | US Gov <br> Pol | US <br> History | World <br> History | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Studio } \\ \text { Art 2D } \end{array}$ | Computer <br> Science |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 14 |
| 2 | 49 | 12 | 11 | 5 | 22 | 14 | 41 | 0 | 24 |
| 1 | 38 | 3 | 25 | 39 | 55 | 65 | 42 | 1 | 24 |
| TOTAL | 108 | 24 | 64 | 51 | 84 | 90 | 99 | 12 | 62 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \% 3 \text { or } \\ & \text { above } \end{aligned}$ | 19.44\% | 37.50\% | 43.75\% | 13.73\% | 8.33\% | 12.22\% | 16.16\% | 91.67\% | 22.58\% |
| AP SCHOOL SUMMARY, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCORE | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Calc} \\ & \mathrm{AB} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{Calc} \\ \mathrm{BC} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Stat | Biology | Env <br> Science | Chem | Physics | French | Span Lang |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 2 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 46 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 68 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 25 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 21 |
| \%3 or above | 16.18\% | 83.33\% | 0.00\% | 35.29\% | 36.00\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 0.00\% | 57.14\% |
| Total \# of AP Students |  |  |  | 511 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \# of AP Seats |  |  |  | 929 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total \# of Exams |  |  |  | 753 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Scoring 3 or more |  |  |  | 170 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Percent Scoring $3+$ |  |  |  | 22.58\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: https://www.collegeboard.org/

## AP Summary Comparison Scores - 4 Year Trend

The table below depicts four years of AP data collected through College Board. The table illustrates the year-over-year change in the percentage of AP students with scores of 3 or higher. WCCUSD provides free AP testing for all students. Over the past four years, the highest pass rate among AP results within a single year was in 2019 with a pass rate of $34.20 \%$. The lowest pass rate over the last 4 years was in 2016 with a pass rate of $19.77 \%$. The 4 -year AP exam pass rate average is $25.11 \%$.

| Advanced <br> Placement <br> Test Results | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 4 Year Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Exams | \% of Total | \# of <br> Exams | \% of Total | \# of <br> Exams | \% of Total | \# of <br> Exams | \% of Total | \# of <br> Exams | \% of <br> Total |
| 1 | 411 | 53.10\% | 366 | 48.61\% | 321 | 53.23\% | 140 | 33.25\% | 1238 | 48.53\% |
| 2 | 210 | 27.13\% | 217 | 28.82\% | 138 | 22.89\% | 137 | 32.54\% | 702 | 56.98\% |
| 3 | 94 | 12.14\% | 117 | 15.54\% | 90 | 14.93\% | 94 | 22.33\% | 395 | 15.48\% |
| 4 | 49 | 6.33\% | 32 | 4.25\% | 41 | 6.80\% | 40 | 9.50\% | 162 | 6.35\% |
| 5 | 10 | 1.29\% | 21 | 2.79\% | 13 | 2.16\% | 10 | 2.38\% | 138 | 5.41\% |
| TOTAL | 774 |  | 753 |  | 603 |  | 421 |  | 2551 |  |
| Total <br> Passing with <br> a 3 or better | 153 | 19.77\% | 170 | 22.58\% | 144 | 23.88\% | 144 | 34.20\% | 1232 | 25.11\% |

Source: https://www.collegeboard.org/

## English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) Scores:

All newly enrolled students whose primary language spoken at home is not English, must take an English proficiency assessment within 30 calendar days of their enrollment in any California public school. The initial assessment will determine if a student is classified as Fluent-English Proficient (FEP) or English Learner (EL). The ELPAC is the mandated state test for determining English Language Proficiency (ELP) among all students designated as an English Learner (EL) student.

The ELPAC assesses students in reading, writing, speaking and listening skills in English. The results of the ELPAC identifies level of proficiency among students who classified as English learners and assess the progress of students enrolled in English Learner Development programs.

## English-Language Learners: Fluent-English Proficient (FEP)

All EL students take the ELPAC every year until their scores meet the criteria to be reclassified as Fluent-English Proficient (R-FEP). Students who meet the state criteria are re-designated as Fluent English Proficient (FEP). Fluent English Proficient (FEP) students are language-minority students who able to comprehend, speak, read, and write English well enough to function in mainstream English classroom without any special language services or accommodations. The state of California commonly distinguishes between two categories of FEP students:

- Initially Fluent English Proficient (I-FEP): Students initially assessed as not Limited English Proficient (LEP) but who nevertheless speak a language other than English at home. For many I-FEP students, English was not their first language, even though they became fluent in it at a young age.
- Re-designated Fluent English Proficient (R-FEP): Language-minority students initially assessed as LEP, who have been reclassified as FEP by meeting local performance criteria.


## English Learner Reclassification Rate

The chart below shows a 3 year trend of language proficiency from 2016-17 to 2018-19. The three year trend shows an $11.25 \%$ increase in the number of EL students who were reclassified from 2016-17 to 2018-19 school year.

| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> English <br> Learners | RFEP | Reclassified <br> Rate |
| $2019-20$ |  |  |  |
| $2018-19$ | 67 | 16 | $23.88 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | 93 | 18 | $19.35 \%$ |
| $2016-17$ | 95 | 12 | $12.63 \%$ |

Source: http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

## Report Card Analysis: Academic Pass Rate

The report card analysis provides disaggregated data outlining the number of students earning a specific grade in specific courses. The Pass Rate is calculated by dividing the number of students earning a C or above by the total number of students in each course. The grading periods are broken down by quarters ( 9.5 weeks of instruction). First semester ( 18 weeks of instruction) and $2^{\text {nd }}$ semester grades are also displayed. The pass rates are broken down into subject matter departments or grouped into similar course category.

In order to comply with A-G requirements for successful college entry, students must pass each course taken in high school with a C or better. In addition to the A-G requirement, students need to obtain a C or better as a pre-requisite to get into upper level high school courses.

## English Department, 2018-19

There are 13 courses offered under the English department. Two of the courses are AP, three advanced/honors, and the other eight are regular college preparatory courses.

| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL - ACADEMIC PASS RATES |  |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | Row N \% | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } N \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | Row N \% | TOTAL ENROLLED | PASS RATE |
| AP English Language and Composition |  | Q1 | 64 | 80\% | 11 | 14\% | 2 | 3\% | 3 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 80 | 96\% |
|  |  | S1 | 69 | 91\% | 6 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 76 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 57 | 75\% | 18 | 24\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 76 | 99\% |
|  |  | S2 | 68 | 89\% | 7 | 9\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 76 | 100\% |
| AP English Literature and Composition |  | Q1 | 23 | 61\% | 13 | 34\% | 2 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 34 | 74\% | 4 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 46 | 83\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 27 | 69\% | 9 | 23\% | 2 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 39 | 97\% |
|  |  | S2 | 30 | 79\% | 4 | 11\% | 3 | 8\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 97\% |
|  | CSU <br> Expository Reading and Writing | Q1 | 33 | 87\% | 0 | 4\% | 4 | 11\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 101\% |
|  |  | S1 | 32 | 89\% | 3 | 8\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 36 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 24 | 65\% | 8 | 22\% | 1 | 3\% | 4 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 89\% |
|  |  | S2 | 22 | 59\% | 10 | 27\% | 2 | 5\% | 3 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 92\% |
|  | English/READ 180 | Q1 | 4 | 17\% | 7 | 29\% | 13 | 54\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 24 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 6 | 21\% | 9 | 32\% | 9 | 32\% | 4 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 28 | 86\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 6 | 23\% | 14 | 54\% | 5 | 19\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 26 | 96\% |
|  |  | S2 | 4 | 15\% | 9 | 35\% | 11 | 42\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 26 | 92\% |
|  | English 1 [P] | Q1 | 5 | 31\% | 5 | 31\% | 6 | 38\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 5 | 29\% | 4 | 24\% | 8 | 47\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 17 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 4 | 27\% | 4 | 27\% | 5 | 33\% | 2 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 87\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 6\% | 7 | 44\% | 6 | 38\% | 2 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 | 88\% |
|  | English 2 [P] | Q1 | 81 | 55\% | 16 | 11\% | 25 | 17\% | 12 | 8\% | 14 | 9\% | 148 | 82\% |
|  |  | S1 | 79 | 54\% | 20 | 14\% | 20 | 14\% | 12 | 8\% | 14 | 10\% | 145 | 82\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 80 | 56\% | 20 | 14\% | 13 | 9\% | 7 | 5\% | 24 | 17\% | 144 | 78\% |
|  |  | S2 | 76 | 53\% | 25 | 17\% | 21 | 15\% | 5 | 3\% | 16 | 11\% | 143 | 85\% |
|  | English 3 [H] | Q1 | 38 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 38 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 34 | 89\% | 3 | 8\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 38 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 100\% |
|  | English 3 [P] | Q1 | 70 | 67\% | 18 | 17\% | 11 | 11\% | 3 | 3\% | 2 | 2\% | 104 | 95\% |
|  |  | S1 | 63 | 62\% | 23 | 23\% | 9 | 9\% | 2 | 2\% | 5 | 5\% | 102 | 93\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 53 | 56\% | 16 | 17\% | 12 | 13\% | 9 | 10\% | 4 | 4\% | 94 | 86\% |
|  |  | S2 | 54 | 57\% | 26 | 27\% | 6 | 6\% | 3 | 3\% | 6 | 6\% | 95 | 91\% |
|  | English 4 [P] | Q1 | 70 | 50\% | 39 | 28\% | 23 | 17\% | 4 | 3\% | 3 | 2\% | 139 | 95\% |
|  |  | S1 | 46 | 35\% | 41 | 31\% | 30 | 23\% | 13 | 10\% | 3 | 2\% | 133 | 88\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 45 | 34\% | 36 | 27\% | 24 | 18\% | 16 | 12\% | 11 | 8\% | 132 | 80\% |
|  |  | S2 | 42 | 32\% | 36 | 27\% | 32 | 24\% | 19 | 15\% | 2 | 2\% | 131 | 84\% |
|  | English I Adv [P] | Q1 | 50 | 31\% | 67 | 41\% | 25 | 15\% | 18 | 11\% | 2 | 1\% | 162 | 88\% |
|  |  | S1 | 56 | 34\% | 62 | 38\% | 24 | 15\% | 17 | 10\% | 4 | 2\% | 163 | 87\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 69 | 40\% | 39 | 23\% | 27 | 16\% | 19 | 11\% | 18 | 10\% | 172 | 78\% |
|  |  | S2 | 83 | 47\% | 31 | 18\% | 30 | 17\% | 19 | 11\% | 12 | 7\% | 175 | 82\% |
|  | English II Adv [P] | Q1 | 42 | 59\% | 25 | 35\% | 4 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 45 | 63\% | 22 | 31\% | 4 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 30 | 42\% | 23 | 32\% | 13 | 18\% | 4 | 6\% | 1 | 1\% | 71 | 93\% |
|  |  | S2 | 34 | 48\% | 25 | 35\% | 10 | 14\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 71 | 97\% |

Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## Math Courses, 2018-19

There are seven courses offered in math, three are AP, one honors course and the other five are college preparatory.

| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL - ACADEMIC PASS RATES |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  | TOTAL ENROLLED | PASS <br> RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{エ}{\gtrless}$ | Algebra 1 [P] | Q1 | 23 | 13\% | 39 | 21\% | 38 | 21\% | 26 | 14\% | 57 | 31\% | 183 | 55\% |
|  |  | S1 | 24 | 13\% | 40 | 22\% | 42 | 23\% | 25 | 13\% | 55 | 30\% | 186 | 57\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 42 | 22\% | 26 | 14\% | 37 | 20\% | 16 | 9\% | 66 | 35\% | 187 | 56\% |
|  |  | S2 | 41 | 21\% | 36 | 19\% | 37 | 19\% | 18 | 9\% | 62 | 32\% | 194 | 59\% |
|  | Algebra 2 Trig [P] | Q1 | 47 | 25\% | 43 | 23\% | 59 | 32\% | 14 | 8\% | 22 | 12\% | 185 | 81\% |
|  |  | S1 | 49 | 27\% | 37 | 20\% | 62 | 34\% | 19 | 10\% | 15 | 8\% | 182 | 81\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 45 | 26\% | 47 | 27\% | 41 | 23\% | 15 | 9\% | 27 | 15\% | 175 | 76\% |
|  |  | S2 | 45 | 26\% | 44 | 25\% | 45 | 26\% | 23 | 13\% | 19 | 11\% | 176 | 76\% |
|  | AP Calculus AB | Q1 | 15 | 43\% | 15 | 43\% | 5 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 35 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 11 | 31\% | 17 | 49\% | 6 | 17\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 35 | 97\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 23 | 66\% | 7 | 20\% | 4 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 35 | 97\% |
|  |  | S2 | 24 | 69\% | 7 | 20\% | 3 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 35 | 97\% |
|  | AP Calculus BC | Q1 | 29 | 85\% | 5 | 15\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 34 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 27 | 79\% | 6 | 18\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 34 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 25 | 74\% | 6 | 18\% | 2 | 6\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 34 | 97\% |
|  |  | S2 | 26 | 76\% | 7 | 21\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 34 | 100\% |
|  | Geometry [P] | Q1 | 123 | 64\% | 41 | 21\% | 20 | 10\% | 4 | 2\% | 5 | 3\% | 193 | 95\% |
|  |  | S1 | 88 | 46\% | 59 | 31\% | 29 | 15\% | 16 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 193 | 91\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 136 | 70\% | 31 | 16\% | 21 | 11\% | 4 | 2\% | 2 | 1\% | 194 | 97\% |
|  |  | S2 | 125 | 64\% | 40 | 21\% | 23 | 12\% | 6 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 195 | 96\% |
|  | Pre-Calculus [P] | Q1 | 24 | 29\% | 34 | 41\% | 9 | 11\% | 4 | 5\% | 11 | 13\% | 82 | 82\% |
|  |  | S1 | 24 | 29\% | 29 | 35\% | 13 | 16\% | 8 | 10\% | 8 | 10\% | 82 | 80\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 25 | 36\% | 18 | 26\% | 15 | 21\% | 3 | 4\% | 9 | 13\% | 70 | 83\% |
|  |  | S2 | 24 | 34\% | 23 | 33\% | 14 | 20\% | 5 | 7\% | 4 | 6\% | 70 | 87\% |
|  | Probability \& Statistics [P] | Q1 | 13 | 18\% | 29 | 41\% | 16 | 23\% | 13 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 82\% |
|  |  | S1 | 17 | 36\% | 21 | 45\% | 6 | 13\% | 2 | 4\% | 1 | 2\% | 47 | 94\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 28 | 41\% | 12 | 17\% | 11 | 16\% | 12 | 17\% | 6 | 9\% | 69 | 74\% |
|  |  | S2 | 19 | 28\% | 23 | 33\% | 15 | 22\% | 8 | 12\% | 4 | 6\% | 69 | 83\% |

Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## CTE, Performance Based Elective, 2018-19

There were five courses offered in the CTE department and two other courses added as performance based electives.

| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL - ACADEMIC PASS RATES |  |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } N \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } N \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL ENROLLED | RATE |
|  | AP Computer Science ROP | Q1 | 15 | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 | 94\% |
|  |  | S1 | 13 | 81\% | 2 | 13\% | 1 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 11 | 69\% | 2 | 13\% | 2 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 16 | 94\% |
|  |  | S2 | 10 | 67\% | 1 | 7\% | 4 | 27\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 100\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Computer } \\ \text { Programming } \\ \text { ROP } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Q1 | 23 | 77\% | 6 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 30 | 97\% |
|  |  | S1 | 19 | 63\% | 6 | 20\% | 4 | 13\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 30 | 97\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 16 | 52\% | 2 | 6\% | 6 | 19\% | 2 | 6\% | 5 | 16\% | 31 | 77\% |
|  |  | S2 | 12 | 38\% | 3 | 9\% | 7 | 22\% | 4 | 13\% | 6 | 19\% | 32 | 69\% |
|  | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { Computer Graphic } \\ \text { Arts (ROP) }\end{gathered}$ | Q1 | 10 | 14\% | 26 | 37\% | 23 | 32\% | 11 | 15\% | 1 | 1\% | 71 | 83\% |
|  |  | S1 | 32 | 70\% | 10 | 22\% | 2 | 4\% | 2 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 46 | 96\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 24 | 48\% | 11 | 22\% | 13 | 26\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 50 | 96\% |
|  |  | S2 | 18 | 37\% | 12 | 24\% | 9 | 18\% | 6 | 12\% | 4 | 8\% | 49 | 80\% |
|  |  | Q1 | 21 | 27\% | 35 | 45\% | 15 | 19\% | 6 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 78 | 91\% |
|  |  | S1 | 2 | 5\% | 13 | 32\% | 11 | 27\% | 2 | 5\% | 13 | 32\% | 41 | 63\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 12 | 26\% | 12 | 26\% | 6 | 13\% | 5 | 11\% | 11 | 24\% | 46 | 65\% |
|  |  | S1 | 12 | 26\% | 10 | 22\% | 6 | 13\% | 2 | 4\% | 16 | 35\% | 46 | 61\% |
|  | Rehab Theraphy | Q1 | 3 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 11\% | 5 | 28\% | 8 | 44\% | 18 | 28\% |
|  |  | S1 | 2 | 11\% | 5 | 28\% | 2 | 11\% | 4 | 22\% | 5 | 28\% | 18 | 50\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 7 | 50\% | 1 | 7\% | 2 | 14\% | 1 | 7\% | 3 | 21\% | 14 | 71\% |
|  |  | S1 | 4 | 36\% | 2 | 18\% | 4 | 36\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 9\% | 11 | 91\% |
|  | Leadership | Q1 | 31 | 86\% | 2 | 6\% | 2 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 36 | 97\% |
|  |  | S1 | 29 | 83\% | 4 | 11\% | 2 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 35 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 25 | 74\% | 7 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 6\% | 0 | 0\% | 34 | 94\% |
|  |  | S1 | 23 | 68\% | 7 | 21\% | 3 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 34 | 97\% |
|  | Publications/Year book ROP | Q1 | 23 | 77\% | 3 | 10\% | 4 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 30 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 15 | 52\% | 11 | 38\% | 3 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 29 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 15 | 56\% | 10 | 37\% | 2 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 27 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 16 | 59\% | 7 | 26\% | 3 | 11\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 27 | 96\% |

## Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## Special Education, 2018-19

There are seven courses designated as special education (NSH and RSP) that serve students who are in need of specialized instruction.

| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL - ACADEMIC PASS RATES |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  | TOTAL ENROLLED | PASS RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{array}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Row } \mathrm{N} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Algebra 1 |  | Q1 | 8 | 27\% | 8 | 27\% | 8 | 27\% | 1 | 3\% | 5 | 17\% | 30 | 80\% |
|  |  | S1 | 9 | 39\% | 7 | 30\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 22\% | 23 | 78\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 13 | 59\% | 1 | 5\% | 3 | 14\% | 1 | 5\% | 4 | 18\% | 22 | 77\% |
|  |  | S2 | 9 | 39\% | 3 | 13\% | 3 | 13\% | 2 | 9\% | 6 | 26\% | 23 | 65\% |
| Biology Science |  | Q1 | 14 | 67\% | 3 | 14\% | 1 | 5\% | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 10\% | 21 | 86\% |
|  |  | S1 | 6 | 26\% | 4 | 17\% | 5 | 22\% | 5 | 22\% | 3 | 13\% | 23 | 65\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 13 | 54\% | 4 | 17\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 5 | 21\% | 24 | 75\% |
|  |  | S2 | 11 | 4\% | 4 | 19\% | 2 | 10\% | 1 | 5\% | 3 | 14\% | 21 | 33\% |
|  | Gov \& Politics US | Q1 | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 3 | 43\% | 3 | 43\% | 1 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
|  |  | S2 | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
|  | English | Q1 | 9 | 31\% | 12 | 41\% | 8 | 28\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 29 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 10 | 38\% | 10 | 38\% | 6 | 23\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 26 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 9 | 39\% | 7 | 30\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 22\% | 23 | 78\% |
|  |  | S2 | 12 | 41\% | 5 | 17\% | 8 | 28\% | 1 | 3\% | 3 | 10\% | 29 | 86\% |
|  | Tutorial | Q1 | 38 | 68\% | 11 | 20\% | 4 | 7\% | 3 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 56 | 95\% |
|  |  | S1 | 37 | 66\% | 13 | 23\% | 3 | 5\% | 2 | 4\% | 1 | 2\% | 56 | 95\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 32 | 60\% | 13 | 25\% | 6 | 11\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 53 | 96\% |
|  |  | S2 | 39 | 70\% | 8 | 14\% | 6 | 11\% | 1 | 2\% | 2 | 4\% | 56 | 95\% |
|  | World History | Q1 | 7 | 39\% | 5 | 28\% | 4 | 22\% | 1 | 6\% | 1 | 6\% | 18 | 89\% |
|  |  | S1 | 4 | 27\% | 10 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 7\% | 15 | 93\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 4 | 21\% | 5 | 26\% | 8 | 42\% | 1 | 5\% | 1 | 5\% | 19 | 89\% |
|  |  | S2 | 9 | 45\% | 5 | 25\% | 3 | 15\% | 1 | 5\% | 2 | 10\% | 20 | 85\% |
|  | US History | Q1 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |

Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## Science, 2018-19

In 2018-19, we offered five courses in the science department. Two of those were AP courses, and the other three are general college preparatory classes.

|  |  |  |  | A |  | B |  | c |  | D |  | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SCH}$ | OL - ACADEMIC ASS RATES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { A's } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { A's } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { \#of } \\ \text { B's } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { B's } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#of } \\ & \text { C's } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { C's } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { \# of } \\ \text { D's } \end{array}$ | \% of D's | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { of } \\ \text { F's } \end{gathered}$ | \% of D's | TOTAL ENROLLED | RATE |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ய } \\ & \text { Z } \\ & \underset{\sim}{心} \end{aligned}$ | AP Biology | Q1 | 4 | 11\% | 18 | 49\% | 13 | 35\% | 2 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 95\% |
|  |  | S1 | 2 | 5\% | 18 | 49\% | 17 | 46\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 7 | 19\% | 17 | 46\% | 12 | 32\% | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 97\% |
|  |  | S2 | 4 | 11\% | 14 | 38\% | 19 | 51\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 37 | 100\% |
|  | AP Environmental Science ROP | Q1 | 5 | 20\% | 13 | 52\% | 6 | 24\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 25 | 96\% |
|  |  | S1 | 7 | 28\% | 12 | 48\% | 5 | 20\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 25 | 96\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 11 | 48\% | 10 | 43\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 5 | 20\% | 11 | 44\% | 6 | 24\% | 2 | 8\% | 1 | 4\% | 25 | 88\% |
|  | Biology [P] | Q1 | 54 | 23\% | 70 | 30\% | 51 | 22\% | 26 | 11\% | 35 | 15\% | 236 | 74\% |
|  |  | S1 | 57 | 25\% | 50 | 22\% | 60 | 26\% | 33 | 14\% | 32 | 14\% | 232 | 72\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 60 | 25\% | 55 | 23\% | 46 | 19\% | 26 | 11\% | 50 | 21\% | 237 | 68\% |
|  |  | S2 | 52 | 22\% | 55 | 23\% | 51 | 21\% | 28 | 12\% | 52 | 22\% | 238 | 66\% |
|  | Chemistry [P] | Q1 | 27 | 12\% | 49 | 21\% | 76 | 33\% | 45 | 20\% | 32 | 14\% | 229 | 66\% |
|  |  | S1 | 25 | 11\% | 51 | 23\% | 72 | 32\% | 45 | 20\% | 31 | 14\% | 224 | 66\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 37 | 17\% | 41 | 19\% | 58 | 27\% | 37 | 17\% | 45 | 21\% | 218 | 62\% |
|  |  | S2 | 50 | 23\% | 45 | 21\% | 54 | 25\% | 32 | 15\% | 37 | 17\% | 218 | 68\% |
|  | Physics [P] | Q1 | 74 | 56\% | 28 | 21\% | 16 | 12\% | 8 | 6\% | 5 | 4\% | 131 | 90\% |
|  |  | S1 | 57 | 45\% | 25 | 20\% | 28 | 22\% | 8 | 6\% | 8 | 6\% | 126 | 87\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 27 | 22\% | 40 | 33\% | 19 | 16\% | 9 | 7\% | 27 | 22\% | 122 | 70\% |
|  |  | S2 | 53 | 43\% | 26 | 21\% | 20 | 16\% | 9 | 7\% | 14 | 11\% | 122 | 81\% |

## Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## EL/Sheltered Courses, 2018-19

Thirteen courses in this section support our EL Students. All EL1 and EL 2 students are placed in general education sheltered sections, signified by the (SL) behind each course name. The intent of this placement is to identify our EL students in the general ed classes and provide sheltered instruction. Sheltered instruction is a set of teaching strategies, designed for teachers of academic content, that lower the linguistic demand of the lesson without compromising the integrity or rigor of the subject matter.

| HERCULES HIGHSCHOOL - ACADEMICPASS RATES |  |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } \mathrm{N} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } \mathrm{N} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL ENROLLED | RATE |
| Algebra 1 SL [P] |  | Q1 | 4 | 57\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 43\% | 7 | 57\% |
|  |  | S1 | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 6 | 67\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 6 | 83\% |
|  |  | S2 | 3 | 50\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 6 | 83\% |
| Biology SL [P] |  | Q1 | 1 | 25\% | 2 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 4 | 75\% |
|  |  | S1 | 2 | 50\% | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 4 | 75\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 5 | 60\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 5 | 60\% |
| Chemistry SL [P] |  | Q1 | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% | 2 | 33\% | 6 | 33\% |
|  |  | S1 | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% | 6 | 33\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 6 | 67\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 83\% |
| American Gov/Economics SL [P] |  | Q1 | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% | 2 | 33\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 83\% |
|  |  | S1 | 3 | 50\% | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 3 | 50\% | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 83\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% | 1 | 17\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 67\% |
| EL, SHELTERED SECTIONS | ELD 1A/1B | Q1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 2 | 50\% |
|  |  | S1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 50\% | 1 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 2 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% |  | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 3 | 67\% |
|  | ELD 2A/2B | Q1 | 4 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 4 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 3 | 75\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 75\% |
|  |  | S2 | 4 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 100\% |
|  | ELD 3A | Q1 | 5 | 38\% | 6 | 46\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 8\% | 13 | 92\% |
|  |  | S1 | 6 | 40\% | 4 | 27\% | 3 | 20\% | 1 | 7\% | 1 | 7\% | 15 | 87\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 7 | 54\% | 3 | 23\% | 1 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 15\% | 13 | 85\% |
|  |  | S2 | 4 | 31\% | 4 | 31\% | 1 | 8\% | 3 | 23\% | 1 | 8\% | 13 | 69\% |
|  | ELD 4 [P] | Q1 | 1 | 13\% | 5 | 63\% | 1 | 13\% | 1 | 13\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 88\% |
|  |  | S1 | 5 | 56\% | 1 | 11\% | 3 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 1 | 13\% | 4 | 50\% | 3 | 38\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 6 | 83\% |
|  | Geometry SL [P] | Q1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 67\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 2 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% |
|  | US History SL [P] | Q1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 17\% | 3 | 50\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 33\% | 6 | 67\% |
|  |  | S1 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 2 | 40\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 5 | 60\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 40\% | 5 | 60\% |
|  |  | S2 | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 80\% |
|  | World Hist SL [P] | Q1 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% |

## Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## Social Science, 2018-19

The table below depicts 11 courses in the social science department, four of which are AP.

| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL - ACADEMIC PASS RATES |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  | TOTAL ENROLLED | PASS RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of A's | \% of A's | \#of <br> B's | \% of B's | \#of <br> C's | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { C's } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \# of } \\ \mathrm{D} \text { 's } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \% of D's | \# of F's | \% of D's |  |  |
| Amer Govt [P] |  | Q1 | 17 | 27\% | 17 | 27\% | 14 | 22\% | 9 | 14\% | 6 | 10\% | 63 | 76\% |
|  |  | S1 | 22 | 36\% | 6 | 10\% | 17 | 28\% | 10 | 16\% | 6 | 10\% | 61 | 74\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 37 | 35\% | 29 | 27\% | 29 | 27\% | 7 | 7\% | 4 | 4\% | 106 | 90\% |
|  |  | S2 | 18 | 18\% | 26 | 26\% | 36 | 36\% | 20 | 20\% | 1 | 1\% | 101 | 79\% |
| AP Government \& Politics United States |  | Q1 | 6 | 16\% | 25 | 66\% | 7 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 38 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 7 | 18\% | 18 | 47\% | 11 | 29\% | 1 | 3\% | 1 | 3\% | 38 | 95\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
|  |  | S2 | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! | 0 | \#DIV/0! |
| AP United States History |  | Q1 | 43 | 57\% | 27 | 36\% | 3 | 4\% | 3 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 76 | 96\% |
|  |  | S1 | 62 | 82\% | 9 | 12\% | 4 | 5\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 76 | 99\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 58 | 77\% | 11 | 15\% | 3 | 4\% | 2 | 3\% | 1 | 1\% | 75 | 96\% |
|  |  | S2 | 53 | 76\% | 15 | 21\% | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 70 | 100\% |
| AP World Hist |  | Q1 | 40 | 56\% | 18 | 25\% | 13 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 33 | 46\% | 27 | 38\% | 10 | 14\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 99\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 49 | 70\% | 16 | 23\% | 5 | 7\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 70 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 53 | 76\% | 15 | 21\% | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 70 | 100\% |
|  | Economics [P] | Q1 | 43 | 41\% | 32 | 30\% | 21 | 20\% | 7 | 7\% | 3 | 3\% | 106 | 91\% |
|  |  | S1 | 42 | 42\% | 40 | 40\% | 12 | 12\% | 5 | 5\% | 2 | 2\% | 101 | 93\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 32 | 33\% | 27 | 28\% | 17 | 18\% | 14 | 14\% | 7 | 7\% | 97 | 78\% |
|  |  | S2 | 31 | 32\% | 27 | 28\% | 23 | 24\% | 14 | 15\% | 1 | 1\% | 96 | 84\% |
|  | App Res Methods for Cont Issues | Q1 | 90 | 60\% | 25 | 17\% | 16 | 11\% | 16 | 11\% | 3 | 2\% | 150 | 87\% |
|  |  | S1 | 82 | 55\% | 28 | 19\% | 21 | 14\% | 17 | 11\% | 2 | 1\% | 150 | 87\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 88 | 55\% | 33 | 17\% | 22 | 14\% | 13 | 7\% | 3 | 2\% | 159 | 86\% |
|  |  | S2 | 82 | 50\% | 44 | 27\% | 24 | 15\% | 10 | 6\% | 4 | 2\% | 164 | 91\% |
|  | Psychology [P] | Q1 | 30 | 49\% | 16 | 26\% | 8 | 13\% | 4 | 7\% | 3 | 5\% | 61 | 89\% |
|  |  | S1 | 30 | 50\% | 18 | 30\% | 8 | 13\% | 2 | 3\% | 2 | 3\% | 60 | 93\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 37 | 61\% | 11 | 18\% | 7 | 11\% | 2 | 3\% | 4 | 7\% | 61 | 90\% |
|  |  | S2 | 30 | 49\% | 17 | 28\% | 10 | 16\% | 3 | 5\% | 1 | 2\% | 61 | 93\% |
|  | AP Psychology | Q1 | 19 | 76\% | 4 | 16\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 25 | 96\% |
|  |  | S1 | 16 | 70\% | 5 | 22\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 15 | 65\% | 5 | 22\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 4\% | 23 | 96\% |
|  |  | S2 | 16 | 70\% | 5 | 22\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 96\% |
|  | US History [P] | Q1 | 33 | 22\% | 35 | 23\% | 37 | 25\% | 27 | 18\% | 17 | 11\% | 149 | 70\% |
|  |  | S1 | 28 | 19\% | 34 | 23\% | 34 | 23\% | 23 | 16\% | 26 | 18\% | 145 | 66\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 33 | 24\% | 34 | 23\% | 23 | 24\% | 24 | 25\% | 25 | 18\% | 139 | 71\% |
|  |  | S2 | 38 | 27\% | 30 | 22\% | 28 | 20\% | 16 | 12\% | 27 | 19\% | 139 | 69\% |
|  | World History [P] | Q1 | 77 | 51\% | 29 | 19\% | 16 | 11\% | 13 | 9\% | 15 | 10\% | 150 | 81\% |
|  |  | S1 | 55 | 38\% | 44 | 31\% | 18 | 13\% | 8 | 6\% | 19 | 13\% | 144 | 81\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 56 | 39\% | 44 | 31\% | 16 | 11\% | 9 | 6\% | 17 | 12\% | 142 | 82\% |
|  |  | S2 | 57 | 40\% | 43 | 30\% | 14 | 10\% | 12 | 8\% | 16 | 11\% | 142 | 80\% |

Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## Visual \& Performing Arts, 2018-19

Fourteen courses in the visual and performing arts department, one of which is AP.

| HERCULES HIGH <br> SCHOOL - ACADEMIC <br> PASS RATES |  |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | RATE |
|  | Adv Visual Arts[P] | Q1 | 15 | 63\% | 7 | 29\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 96\% |
|  |  | S1 | 10 | 38\% | 11 | 42\% | 3 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 8\% | 92\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 9 | 36\% | 10 | 40\% | 6 | 24\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { AP Studio Art: } 2- \\ \text { D Design } \end{array}$ | Q1 | 22 | 35\% | 28 | 45\% | 12 | 19\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 29 | 46\% | 22 | 35\% | 12 | 19\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 17 | 27\% | 32 | 50\% | 15 | 23\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | Begin Art [P] | Q1 | 68 | 46\% | 62 | 42\% | 12 | 8\% | 3 | 2\% | 2 | 1\% | 97\% |
|  |  | S1 | 64 | 43\% | 53 | 36\% | 27 | 18\% | 2 | 1\% | 2 | 1\% | 97\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 58 | 39\% | 54 | 37\% | 30 | 20\% | 3 | $2 \%$ | 2 | 1\% | 97\% |
|  | Concert Band [P] | Q1 | 45 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 45 | 98\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 44 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | Concert Choir [P] | Q1 | 26 | 96\% | 1 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 25 | 76\% | 4 | 12\% | 4 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 27 | 66\% | 5 | 12\% | 2 | 5\% | 2 | 5\% | 5 | 12\% | 83\% |
|  | Orchestra [P] | Q1 | 61 | 95\% | 2 | 3\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 61 | 95\% | 2 | 3\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 58 | 91\% | 5 | 8\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | Orchestra <br> Advanced | Q1 | 56 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |  | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 56 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 58 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | Theater 1 [P] | Q1 | 28 | 85\% | 4 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 97\% |
|  |  | S1 | 29 | 81\% | 6 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 3\% | 97\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 24 | 73\% | 2 | 6\% | 2 | 6\% | 2 | 6\% | 3 | 9\% | 85\% |
|  | Theater Advance$[\mathrm{P}]$ | Q1 | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 1 | 33\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 67\% |
|  | Publications | Q1 | 21 | 84\% | 2 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 8\% | 92\% |
|  |  | S1 | 14 | 64\% | 7 | 32\% | 1 | 5\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 12 | 60\% | 6 | 30\% | 2 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |

## Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## World Languages, 2018-19

There are eight courses in the world language department, one of which is AP.

| HERCULES HIGH SCHOOL - ACADEMIC PASS RATES |  |  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | F |  | TOTAL ENROLLED | PASS <br> RATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } \mathrm{N} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } N \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | \# of | $\begin{gathered} \text { Row } N \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| AP Spanish Language |  | Q1 | 3 | 50\% | 2 | 33\% | 1 | 17\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 6 | 100\% |
|  |  | S1 | 3 | 60\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 20\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 80\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 1 | 20\% | 3 | 60\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 100\% |
|  |  | S2 | 1 | 20\% | 4 | 80\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 100\% |
| French 1 [P] |  | Q1 | 22 | 44\% | 11 | 22\% | 9 | 18\% | 2 | 4\% | 6 | 12\% | 50 | 84\% |
|  |  | S1 | 21 | 42\% | 9 | 18\% | 13 | 26\% | 3 | 6\% | 4 | 8\% | 50 | 86\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 17 | 38\% | 9 | 20\% | 8 | 18\% | 2 | 4\% | 9 | 20\% | 45 | 76\% |
|  |  | S2 | 19 | 42\% | 7 | 16\% | 8 | 18\% | 4 | 9\% | 7 | 16\% | 45 | 76\% |
| French $2[P]$ |  | Q1 | 10 | 48\% | 4 | 19\% | 6 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 5\% | 21 | 95\% |
|  |  | S1 | 9 | 45\% | 3 | 15\% | 7 | 35\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 5\% | 20 | 95\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 7 | 35\% | 5 | 25\% | 5 | 25\% | 2 | 10\% | 1 | 5\% | 20 | 85\% |
|  |  | S2 | 10 | 53\% | 3 | 16\% | 4 | 21\% | 2 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 19 | 89\% |
|  | French 3 [P] | Q1 | 24 | 55\% | 11 | 25\% | 8 | 18\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 44 | 98\% |
|  |  | S1 | 20 | 45\% | 13 | 30\% | 5 | 11\% | 4 | 9\% | 2 | 5\% | 44 | 86\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 12 | 28\% | 21 | 49\% | 4 | 9\% | 3 | 7\% | 3 | 7\% | 43 | 86\% |
|  |  | S2 | 18 | 41\% | 16 | 36\% | 6 | 14\% | 3 | 7\% | 1 | 2\% | 44 | 91\% |
|  | Spanish 1 [P] | Q1 | 72 | 38\% | 45 | 23\% | 31 | 16\% | 23 | 12\% | 21 | 11\% | 192 | 77\% |
|  |  | S1 | 64 | 34\% | 41 | 22\% | 37 | 20\% | 18 | 10\% | 26 | 14\% | 186 | 76\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 72 | 39\% | 31 | 17\% | 34 | 18\% | 19 | 10\% | 29 | 16\% | 185 | 74\% |
|  |  | S2 | 62 | 34\% | 43 | 23\% | 31 | 17\% | 23 | 12\% | 26 | 14\% | 185 | 74\% |
|  | Spanish 2 [P] | Q1 | 51 | 32\% | 41 | 26\% | 37 | 23\% | 26 | 16\% | 5 | 3\% | 160 | 81\% |
|  |  | S1 | 50 | 32\% | 41 | 26\% | 42 | 27\% | 14 | 9\% | 10 | 6\% | 157 | 85\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 40 | 26\% | 51 | 34\% | 26 | 17\% | 12 | 8\% | 22 | 15\% | 151 | 77\% |
|  |  | S2 | 33 | 22\% | 58 | 29\% | 29 | 18\% | 18 | 12\% | 14 | 9\% | 152 | 69\% |
|  | Spanish 3 [P] | Q1 | 33 | 55\% | 20 | 33\% | 5 | 8\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 60 | 97\% |
|  |  | S1 | 28 | 47\% | 23 | 39\% | 7 | 12\% | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 59 | 98\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 18 | 31\% | 19 | 32\% | 16 | 27\% | 4 | 7\% | 2 | 3\% | 59 | 90\% |
|  |  | S2 | 19 | 32\% | 15 | 25\% | 20 | 34\% | 4 | 7\% | 1 | 2\% | 59 | 92\% |
|  | Spanish 4 [H] | Q1 | 8 | 33\% | 11 | 46\% | 3 | 13\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 24 | 92\% |
|  |  | S1 | 16 | 70\% | 3 | 13\% | 2 | 9\% | 2 | 9\% | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 91\% |
|  |  | Q3 | 5 | 22\% | 14 | 61\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 2 | 9\% | 23 | 87\% |
|  |  | S2 | 12 | 52\% | 7 | 30\% | 2 | 9\% | 1 | 4\% | 1 | 4\% | 23 | 91\% |

Source: Powerschool - WCCUSD

## Physical Fitness Test (PFT):

Students in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are required to take the PFT, whether or not they are enrolled in a physical education class. The Physical Fitness Test is composed of six fitness areas, with a number of test options provided for most areas:

| California Physical Fitness Test Results |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Four of Six <br> Standards | Five of <br> Six <br> Standards | Six of Six <br> Standards |
| Oth Grade |  |  |  |
| $2018-19$ | $27.5 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $13.4 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ | $14.2 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ |

## Source: data1.cde.ca.gov/Dataquest/PhysFitness

Students must pass five of the six strands tested for successful completion of this exam. If a student does not pass the exam he/she will continue to be tested on a yearly basis. The fitness tests consists of six strands in the following areas: Aerobic Capacity, Abdominal Strength and Endurance, Upper Body Strength and Endurance, Composition, Extensor Strength and Flexibility, Flexibility

## Physical Fitness Report, Summary Results

The table below breaks down the Physical Fitness scores by Aerobic Capacity, Body
Composition, Abdominal Strength, Trunk Extension, Upper Body Strength and Flexibility.

| California Physical Fitness Test Results, 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Fitness Area, 9th Grade | Total Tested | \% Healthy Fitness Zone | \% Needs Improvement | \% Health Risk |
| Aerobic Capacity | 196 | 69.4\% | 14.8\% | 15.8\% |
| Body Composition | 196 | 65.3\% | 16.8\% | 17.9\% |
| Abdominal Strength | 196 | 89.8\% | 10.2\% | * |
| Trunk Extension Strength | 196 | 96.9\% | 3.1\% | * |
| Upper Body Strength | 196 | 69.4\% | 30.6\% | * |
| Flexibility | 196 | 86.2\% | 13.8\% | * |


| California Physical Fitness Test Results, 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Fitness Area, 9th Grade | Total Tested | \% Healthy Fitness Zone | \% Needs Improvement | \% Health Risk |
| Aerobic Capacity | 222 | 81.5\% | 17.1\% | 1.4\% |
| Body Composition | 222 | 61.7\% | 20.7\% | 17.6\% |
| Abdominal Strength | 222 | 73.9\% | 26.1\% | * |
| Trunk Extension Strength | 222 | 98.2\% | 1.8\% | * |
| Upper Body Strength | 222 | 18.0\% | 82.0\% | * |
| Flexibility | 222 | 96.8\% | 3.2\% | * |


| California Physical Fitness Test Results, 2016-17 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Fitness Area, 9th Grade | Total Tested | \% Healthy Fitness Zone | \% Needs <br> Improvement | \% Health Risk |
| Aerobic Capacity | 246 | 76.0\% | 17.5\% | 6.5\% |
| Body Composition | 246 | 63.0\% | 20.3\% | 16.7\% |
| Abdominal Strength | 246 | 85.0\% | 15.0\% | * |
| Trunk Extension Strength | 246 | 95.9\% | 4.1\% | * |
| Upper Body Strength | 246 | 66.7\% | 33.3\% | * |
| Flexibility | 246 | 86.2\% | 13.8\% | * |

Source: https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/healthfitzones.asp

## A-G Pass Rate: Number of Graduates Meeting UC/CSU Requirements

The University of California (UC) and California State University (CSU) require entering freshmen to "successfully" complete certain courses in high school. The UC/CSU systems require that students pass all "A-G Courses" with a grade of "C" or higher. These courses are called the "A-G" because of the letter each subject area is assigned: "A" is for History/Social Science, "B" is for English, "C" is for Math, "D" is for Laboratory Science, "E" is for World Language, " $F$ " is for Visual and/or Performing Arts, and " $G$ " is a college preparatory elective. To receive "A-G" credit, a high school course must be certified through the UC course approval process. At the current time, WCCUSD allows grades of "D" or higher in required courses to count towards graduation.

The table below displays the number of graduates, within a specified graduating class, who completed all requirements for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) entrance. The graduation rate is based on a 1-year graduation count. It includes all students who graduated in a given year who met the UC/CSU course requirements. In 2019, the A-G pass rate was $62.32 \%$ of all graduating seniors earning a "C" or better in courses required for UC/CSU entrance. The overall 4-year average of graduates who meet UC/CSU requirements is at $59.6 \%$.

| A-G PASS RATES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic |  |  |  |
| Year |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Number of <br>

graduates\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { \# Students } \\
\text { Passed Course } \\
\text { Requirements }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}\% Students <br>
Passed Course <br>

Requirements\end{array}\right]\)| 2019 | 207 | 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: https://www.calpads.ca.gov/
The following is a table that identifies subgroups and gender specific data in terms of percentages that successfully complete "A-G courses" with a "C" or better.

| Hercules High <br> School Schoolwide Subgroup | Graduates Successfully Completing all Courses Required for UC/CSU Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
|  | \# of Grads | Pass Rate | \# of Grads | Pass Rate | \# of Grads | Pass Rate | \# of Grads | Pass Rate |
| Asian | 54 | 70.40\% | 46 | 80.43\% | 48 | 68.75\% | 40 | 75.00\% |
| African American | 66 | 39.40\% | 76 | 38.16\% | 59 | 45.76\% | 54 | 40.70\% |
| Filipino | 64 | 60.90\% | 78 | 61.54\% | 58 | 81.03\% | 55 | 76.40\% |
| Hispanic | 43 | 46.50\% | 47 | 44.68\% | 52 | 50.00\% | 45 | 62.20\% |
| White | 13 | 53.80\% | 21 | 57.14\% | 18 | 61.11\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 112 | 62.50\% | 136 | 61.00\% | 114 | 76.30\% | 109 | 65.10\% |
| Male | 130 | 47.70\% | 126 | 52.40\% | 110 | 52.70\% | 98 | 59.20\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EL | 26 |  | 21 | 33.33\% | 24 | 25.00\% | 24 | 45.80\% |
| Foster Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homeless Youth |  |  | 20 | 50.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 21 |  | 21 | 19.05\% | 23 | 8.70\% | 13 | 23.10\% |
| Socio-Economic | 142 |  | 143 | 46.85\% | 126 | 57.94\% | 131 | 53.70\% |

## Source: https://www.calpads.ca.gov/

## A-G Comparison: Subgroup

The percentages of Asian students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $68.75 \%$ and a high percentage of $75.0 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of African students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $39.4 \%$ and a high percentage of $45.76 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of Filipino students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $60.9 \%$ and a high percentage of $81.03 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of Hispanic students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $44.68 \%$ and a high percentage of $62.2 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of White students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $53.8 \%$ and a high percentage of $61.1 \%$ throughout the last four years. The white population has been inconsistent due to fluctuation of student enrollment under the significant number to calculate outcome data.

## A-G Comparison: Male/Female

The percentages of male students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $47.70 \%$ and a high percentage of $59.2 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of female students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $61.0 \%$ and a high percentage of $76.3 \%$ throughout the last four years.

## A-G Comparison: Academic Program

The percentages of English Learners (EL) students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $25.0 \%$ and a high percentage of $45.8 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of Students with Disabilities who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $8.7 \%$ and a high percentage of $23.1 \%$ throughout the last four years.

The percentages of Socio-Economically Disadvantaged students who have graduated successfully completing all UC/CSU requirements have ranged from a low percentage of $46.85 \%$ and a high percentage of $57.94 \%$ throughout the last four years.

## Number of Graduates Meeting UC/CSU Requirements: Subgroup Male/Female Comparison

| UC/CSU A-G Gender | Graduates Successfully Completing all Courses Required for UC/CSU Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian |  | African American |  | Filipino |  | Hispanic |  | White |  |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| 2018-19 | 84.2\% | 66.7\% | 50.0\% | 30.8\% | 74.2\% | 79.2\% | 59.3\% | 66.7\% |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 73.9\% | 72.7\% | 65.2\% | 37.5\% | 92.9\% | $72.4 \%$ | 67.7\% | 29.4\% |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 69.6\% | 91.3\% | 44.7\% | 37.5\% | 73.2\% | 51.4\% | 63.6\% | 30.4\% |  | 63.6\% |
| 2015-16 | 69.6\% | 71.0\% | 48.4\% | 31.4\% | 69.0\% | 54.3\% | 57.1\% | 36.4\% | 83.3\% | 28.6\% |
| 4 Year Avg. | 74.3\% | 75.4\% | 52.1\% | $34.3 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ | 64.3\% | 61.9\% | 40.7\% | 83.3\% | 46.1\% |
| UC/CSU A-G | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Gender | Asian |  | African American |  | Filipino |  | Hispanic |  | White |  |

Source: https://www.calpads.ca.gov/
The table above breaks down the subgroup into gender specific categories.
Overall among female students, the highest subgroup performance rate, four year avearge, was the Filipino subgroup with an $77.3 \%$ completing all requirements for UC/CSU entrance. Overall among females, the lowest four year average was the African subgroup with a low of $52.1 \%$.

Overall among male students, the highest subgroup performance rate four year average was the Asian with a $75.4 \%$ completing all requirements for UC/CSU entrance. Overall among male students, the lowest four year average was the African American subgroup at 34.3\%.

## California School Dashboard:

The California Department of Education has a new state accountability and continuous improvement system, known as the California School Dashboard. The transition to the dashboard moves California from a single score (Academic Performance Index) to multiple measures and from a focus on the average of all students performance to equitable outcomes for each student group. The dashboard presents a report card format that takes a more holistic analysis at the many performance areas that are key to preparing students for college and career. The new accountability system puts equity at the center of assessing schools and provides more transparency for parents and the community.

Level of performance is calculated by comparing the current year to the prior year data. The result of this comparison will fall into one of five levels, ranging from Increased Significantly to

Declined Significantly. The five levels are assigned a color code: Red (Declined Significantly), Orange (Declined), Yellow (Maintained), Green (Increased) and Blue (Increased significantly).

## CA Dashboard: College Career Readiness:

As of 2019 , our college readiness score is at $43.4 \%$, which is a drop from the previous year by $0.5 \%$ ranking our school in the yellow performance color (Maintained).

| College <br> Career | College/Career <br> Prepared | \# of <br> Students | Difference <br> from <br> previous year | Level of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2018-19$ | $43.40 \%$ | 221 | $-0.5 \%$ | Maintained | Yellow |
| $2017-18$ | $43.90 \%$ | 239 | $-3.7 \%$ | Declined | Orange |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

The table below breaks down the college career readiness score by subgroups.
The African American sub-group in 2019 registered at $22.4 \%$ college ready, a decrease of $1.7 \%$ from the previous year resulting in an orange performance color.

The Asian sub-group in 2019 registered at $61.9 \%$ college ready, maintained a $0.6 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Filipino sub-group in 2019 registered at $60.3 \%$ college ready, maintained a $0.0 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Hispanic group in 2019 registered at $38 \%$ college ready an increase of $3.7 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

Subgroups or academic programs that did not have a significant student population enrollment were not rated.

| College/Career: <br> SubGroup Category | 2017-18 |  | Performance Color | 2018-19 |  | Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | College/Career Prepared | Level of Performance |  | College/Career Prepared | Level of Performance |  |
| African American | 22.0\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maintained } \\ -1.7 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Orange | 22.4\% | Maintained $-1.7 \%$ | Orange |
| Asian | 62.5\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Declined } \\ 13.6 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Orange | 61.9\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maintained - } \\ 0.6 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Green |
| Filipino | 60.3\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } \\ 5.2 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Green | 60.3\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maintained } \\ 0.0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Green |
| Hispanic | 34.6\% | Declined $10.1 \%$ | Red | 38.3\% | Increased $3.7 \%$ | Green |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 35.0\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Maintained } \\ .8 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yellow | 33.6\% | Maintained $-1.4 \%$ | Orange |
| English Learner | 10.3\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Declined } \\ 20.1 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No Color | 3.8\% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Declined } \\ 6.5 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No Color |
| Foster Youth | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color |
| Homeless | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color |
| Two or More Races | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.00\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Declined } \\ 6.5 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No Color | 5.60\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } \\ 5.6 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No Color |
| White | 44.40\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Declined } \\ 7.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | No Color | Less than 11 <br> Students - No <br> Data |  | No Color |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

## Four Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (ACGR):

In 2017, the State of California implemented a new methodology that calculated graduation rate using a four-year cohort graduation rate. The Four-Year Adjusted Graduation Rate(ACGR) is the number of students who graduate from high school in four years with a regular high school diploma, divided by the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class. The four year cohort is based on the number of students who enter grade 9 for the first time adjusting by adding, into the cohort, any student who transfers in later during grade 9 or during the next three years, and subtracting any student, from the cohort, who transfers out, emigrates to another country, transfers to prison or juvenile facility, or dies during that same time period.

Three significant changes were implemented for calculating high school graduation rates:

1) Students who receive a special education certificate of completion or an adult education high school diploma are no longer considered regular high school graduates
2) Students who pass the California High School Proficiency Exam(CHSPE0 are no longer considered high school graduates
3) Students who transfer to adult education programs or community college will remain in the denominator for the cohort graduation.

Special Note: Because of the changes to how students are included in the cohort, it is not possible to compare the new cohort graduation and drop out rate with data prior to 2016-17 academic year.

The table below depicts the graduation rate as calculated utilizing the Four-Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate. In 2019, Hercules High School graduated 221 students with a graduation rate of $93.7 \%$. The difference from previous year was within $0.1 \%$ (maintained) which placed the level of performance at green.

| Graduation <br> Rate | Graduation <br> Rate | \# of <br> Students | Difference <br> from <br> previous year | Level of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2018-19$ | $93.7 \%$ | 221 | $0.10 \%$ | Maintained | Green |
| $2017-18$ | $93.7 \%$ | 239 | $-1.50 \%$ | Declined | Yellow |
| $2016-17$ | $95.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

## Graduates by Ethnicity and Gender

The table below depicts subgroup graduation data. The CA accountability uses comparison data of the previous year to signify performance on a colored scale with blue representing increased significantly and red representing decreased significantly. The level of performance changes year to year based on growth or decline from the previous year.

| Graduation Rate: <br> SubGroup Category | 2017-18 |  | Performance Color | 2018-19 |  | Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduation Rate | Level Of <br> Performance |  | Graduation Rate | Level Of <br> Performance |  |
| African American | 93.2\% | Increase 1.1\% | Green | 93.1\% | Maintained -0.1\% | Green |
| Asian | 93.8\% | Declined 6.3\% | Yellow | 95.2\% | Increased 1.5\% | Blue |
| Filipino | 98.3\% | Maintained .8\% | Blue | 94.8\% | Declined 3.5\% | Yellow |
| Hispanic | 92.3\% | Declined 3.4\% | Yellow | 95.7\% | Increased 3.3\% | Blue |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | 92.0\% | Maintained .3\% | Green | 92.4\% | Maintained 0.3\% | Green |
| English Learner | 82.8\% | Declined 8.5\% | No Color | 92.3\% | Increased 9\% | No Color |
| Foster Youth | Less than 11 <br> Students - <br> No Data |  | No Color | Less than <br> 11 Students <br> - No Data |  | No Color |
| Homeless | Less than 11 <br> Students No Data |  | No Color | Less than <br> 11 Students <br> - No Data |  | No Color |
| Two or More Races | Less than 11 <br> Students - <br> No Data |  | No Color | Less than <br> 11 Students <br> - No Data |  | No Color |
| Students with Disabilities | 88.5\% | Increased $20.7 \%$ | No Color | 72.2\% | Delcined 16.2\% | No Color |
| White | 99.40\% | Increased 8.7\% | No Color | Less than 11 Students - No Data |  | No Color |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

The table above breaks down the graduation rate by subgroups.
The African American sub-group graduation rate in 2019 was $93.1 \%$, maintained from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Asian sub-group graduation rate in 2019 was $95.2 \%$, increased $1.5 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a blue performance color.

The Filipino sub-group graduation rate in 2019 was $94.8 \%$, declined $3.5 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a yellow performance color.

The Hispanic sub- group graduation rate in 2019 was $95.7 \%$, an increase of $3.3 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a blue performance color.

The socioeconomically disadvantage group graduation rate was $92.4 \$$, maintained $0.3 \%$ from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

Subgroups or academic programs that did not have a significant student population enrollment were not rated.

## CA Dashboard: Math

The California dashboard pulls data from the Smarter Balanced Assessment, which is taken by all $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students once per year. The data compiled in the dashboard measures how will students are meeting grade level standards on the Mathematics assessment. Distance From Standard (DFS) measures how far, on average, students are from the lowest possible score from standard met (level 3). The standard met ranking signifies that students demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary for students to be on track for college and career readiness at their grade level.

| Academic <br> Performance: <br> Math | Distance <br> from <br> Standard <br> (DFS) | \# of <br> Students | Difference <br> from <br> previous year | Level of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2018-19$ | 56.6 | 206 | 18.8 | Increased 18.8 <br> pts | Green |
| $2017-18$ | 75.4 | 205 | 4.4 | Increased 4.4 <br> pts | Yellow |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

The table above provides an overview of how our students performed in mathematics over the last two years. In 2017-18, the average SBAC math score was 75.4 DFS, an increase of 4.4pts from previous year, with a performance color of yellow. In 2018-19, the average SBAC math score was 56.6 DFS, an increase of 18.8 pts, with a performance color of green.

## Student Group Details: Math

| Math: SubGroup Category | 2017-18 |  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance From <br> Standard | Level Of Performance | Performance <br> Color | Distance <br> From <br> Standard | Level Of Performance | Performance Color |
| African American | $\begin{gathered} \hline 119.9 \\ \text { points } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { standard } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } 30 \\ \mathrm{pts} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Orange | $\begin{gathered} 119.7 \\ \text { points } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { standard } \end{gathered}$ | Maintained .1 pts | Red |
| Asian | 20.4 <br> points <br> below <br> standard | Declined 10.3 pts | Yellow | 4.3 points below standard | Increased 16.1 pts | Green |
| Filipino | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28.8 \\ \text { points } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { standard } \end{gathered}$ | Increased 12.1 pts | Green | 22.9 points below standard | Increased 5.9 pts | Green |
| Hispanic | 119.2 <br> points <br> below <br> standard | Increased <br> 7.1 pts | Orange | $101.8$ <br> points below <br> standard | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } \\ 17.3 \mathrm{pts} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yellow |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | 101.9 <br> points <br> below <br> standard | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } \\ 28.5 \mathrm{pts} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yellow | $105.5$ <br> points <br> below <br> standard | Declined 3.6 pts | Orange |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

The table above breaks down the math scores by subgroups.
The African American sub-group average math scores in 2019 was 119.7 points below standard, maintained .1 points from the previous year resulting in a red performance color.

The Asian sub-group average math scores in 2019 was 4.3 points below standard, increased by 16.1 points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Filipino sub-group average math scores in 2019 was 22.9 points below standard, increased by 5.9 points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.
The Hispanic sub- group average math scores in 2019 was 101.9 points below standard, increased by 17.3 points from the previous year resulting in a yellow performance color.

The socioeconomically disadvantage group average math scores in 2019 was 105.5 points below standard, declined by 3.6 points from the previous year resulting in an orange performance color. Subgroups or academic programs that did not have a significant student population enrollment were not rated.

## CA Dashboard: English Language Arts

The California dashboard pulls data from the Smarter Balanced Assessment, which is taken by all $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students once per year. The data compiled in the dashboard measures how will students are meeting grade level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. Distance From Standard (DFS) measures how far, on average, students are from the lowest possible score from standard met (level 3). The standard met ranking signifies that students demonstrate the knowledge and skills necessary for students to be on track for college and career readiness at their grade level.

| Academic <br> Performance: <br> ELA | Distance From <br> Standard(DFS) | \# of <br> Students | Difference <br> from 2016- <br> 17 | Level of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $2018-19$ | 19.1 | 207 | -6.3 | Decline 6.3 <br> pts | Yellow |
| $2017-18$ | 25.4 | 204 | -1.4 | Maintained | Yellow |

[^2]
## Student Group Details: ELA

| English Language Arts: SubGroup Category | 2017-18 |  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Level of Performance | Performance Color | Distance from Standard | Level of Performance | Performance Color |
| African American | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26.8 \\ \text { points } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { standard } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Increased $19.8 \mathrm{pts}$ | Yellow | 23 points below standard | Increased $3.8 \mathrm{pts}$ | Yellow |
| Asian | 55.8 <br> points above <br> standard | Declined 13 pts | Green | 38 points <br> above <br> standard | Declined $17.8 \mathrm{pts}$ | Green |
| Filipino | 78.2 <br> points avove <br> standard | Increased <br> 9.1 pts | Blue | 49.7 points <br> avove <br> standard | Declined 28.5 pts | Green |
| Hispanic | 8.4 below standard | Declined 13.9 pts | Orange | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \text { points } \\ \text { below } \\ \text { standard } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Maintained $2.2 \mathrm{pts}$ | Orange |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 3.8 points above standard | Increased $12.9 \mathrm{pts}$ | Green | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.5 \text { points } \\ \text { above } \\ \text { standard } \end{gathered}$ | Declined $34.3 \text { pts }$ | Orange |

Source: caschooldasboard.org

The table above breaks down the math scores by subgroups.
The African American sub-group average ELA scores in 2019 was 23 points below standard, increased 3.8 points from the previous year resulting in a yellow performance color.
The Asian sub-group average ELA scores in 2019 was 38 points above standard, declined by 17.8 points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Filipino sub-group average ELA scores in 2019 was 49.7 points above standard, declined by 28.5 points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Hispanic sub- group average ELA scores in 2019 was 6.2 points below standard, maintained by 2.2 points from the previous year resulting in an orange performance color.

The socioeconomically disadvantage group average ELA scores in 2019 was 30.5 points below standard, declined by 34.3 points from the previous year resulting in an orange performance color.

Subgroups or academic programs that did not have a significant student population enrollment were not rated.

## CA Dashboard: Conditions \& Climate

School climate data is collected throughout each school year and includes, attendance, truancy, suspension rates, discipline reports, teacher/student/parent perceptive data (surveys), pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, and other local measures. A review of school climate data allows for interpretation of how the school climate affects student success.

Suspension data is collected through our incident management system, through PowerSchool. Each time a student is suspended for an education code violation, an incident is recorded in PowerSchool which outlines specific details for each suspend-able offense.

## CA Dashboard Suspension Rate:

The California's accountability provides a year-to-year comparison of suspension data. The variance of each year displays a positive or negative outcome from the prior year. The performance color represents one of five colors. The performance color is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year. Red $=$ Lowest Performance and Blue $=$ Highest Performance

| Conditions <br> \& Climate | Suspension <br> Rate | \# of Students | Difference <br> from <br> Previous <br> Year | Level of Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018-19 | 2.4\% | 916 | 5.50\% | Declined | Green |
| 2017-18 | 7.9\% | 999 | -0.10\% | Maintained | Orange |

Source: caschoolsdashboard.org

The above table depicts the suspension rate comparison from 2017-18 to 2018-19 school years. The information displayed present information pertaining to students who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted
once. In 2017-18, our calculated suspension rate was $7.9 \%$. In 2018-19, our calculated suspension rate was $2.4 \%$, which was a 5.55 decline which placed us at the green performance level.

## Student Group Details: Suspension Rate

| Conditions \& Climate <br> SubGroup Category | Suspension <br> Rate | Level Of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color | Suspension <br> Rate | Level Of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $14.9 \%$ | Declined 2.4\% | Yellow | $3.4 \%$ | Declined $11.4 \%$ | Green |
| Asian | $3.2 \%$ | Maintained $0 \%$ | Yellow | $2.1 \%$ | Declined $1.1 \%$ | Green |
| Filipino | $4.5 \%$ | Inreased 2.1\% | Orange | $1.5 \%$ | Declined 3\% | Blue |
| Hispanic | $6.9 \%$ | Increased 1.5\% | Orange | $1.9 \%$ | Declined 5\% | Green |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | $11.3 \%$ | Declined .6\% | Orange | $3.6 \%$ | Declined 7.7\% | Green |
| English Learner | $8.3 \%$ | Increased .5\% | Orange | $2.2 \%$ | Declined 6.1\% | Green |
|  |  |  |  | Less than |  |  |
| Less than |  |  | 11 |  | No Color |  |
| Foster Youth | 11 Students |  |  | No Color | No Data |  |
| Homeless | $10 \%$ | Increased 5.5\% | Orange | $0 \%$ | Declined $10 \%$ | Blue |
| Two or More Races | $4.80 \%$ | Increased 4.8\% | No Color | $4.80 \%$ | Increased 4.8\% | No Color |
| Students with |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disabilities | $15.1 \%$ | Increased $.5 \%$ | Red | $4.0 \%$ | Declined $11.1 \%$ | Green |
| White | $10.70 \%$ | Declined $.7 \%$ | Orange | $6.70 \%$ | Declined 4\% | Yellow |

Source: caschoolsdashboard.org

The table above breaks down the math scores by subgroups.
The African American sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $3.4 \%$, declined $11.4 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Asian sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $2.1 \%$, declined $1.1 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Filipino sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $1.5 \%$, declined 3\% points from the previous year resulting in a blue performance color.

The Hispanic sub- group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $1.9 \%$, declined $5 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The socioeconomically disadvantage sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $3.6 \%$, declined $6.1 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The Homeless sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was 0\%, declined $10 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a blue performance color.

The students with disabilities sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $4 \%$, declined $11.1 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a green performance color.

The White sub-group suspension rate (students suspended at least once) in 2019 was $6.7 \%$, declined $4 \%$ points from the previous year resulting in a yellow performance color.

Subgroups or academic programs that did not have a significant student population enrollment were not rated.

## Discipline Data: Suspension Rate

| SUSPENSION RATE, 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic School <br> Year: 2018-19 | Enrollment | Total \# of Suspension | Unduplicated <br> Count of <br> Students <br> Suspended | Suspension Rate | Percent of <br> Students <br> Suspended with one Suspension | Percent of <br> Students <br> Suspended with <br> Multiple <br> Suspensions |
| All Students | 916 | 30 | 25 | 2.7\% | 84.0\% | 16.0\% |
| Male | 487 | 26 | 22 | 4.5\% | 86.4\% | 13.6\% |
| Female | 429 | 4 | 3 | 0.7\% | 66.7\% | 33.3\% |
| African American | 233 | 11 | 9 | 3.9\% | 88.9\% | 11.1\% |
| Asian | 193 | 7 | 5 | 2.6\% | 60.0\% | 40.0\% |
| Filipino | 201 | 3 | 3 | 1.5\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Hispanic | 211 | 5 | 5 | 2.4\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| White | 45 | 4 | 3 | 6.7\% | 66.7\% | 33.3\% |
| Two or More Races | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| English Learners | 90 | 3 | 2 | 2.2\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Foster Youth |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Homeless | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | 422 | 22 | 18 | 4.3\% | 83.3\% | 16.7\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 99 | 6 | 4 | 4.0\% | 75.0\% | 25.0\% |

Source: datal.cde.ca.gove

## Discipline Data: Suspend-able Action

The table below outlines incidents that resulted in suspensions. In 2014-15 school year there were 115 incidents that resulted in a student being suspended from school. Over the past four years the number of suspensions have decreased. In 2018-19 school year there were 29 incidences that resulted in a student being suspended from school.

| DISCIPLINE DATA - SUSPENDABLE ACTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EdCode Sectio | Offense Description | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ |
| $48900(\mathrm{~h})$ | Possessed or used tobacco, nicotine product | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |
| $48915(\mathrm{a})(2)$ | Possession of a Knife/Dangerous Object | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| $48900(\mathrm{l})$ | Property Theft, received stolen property | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 48900.4 | Bullying, Harassment/Intimidation | 17 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Possession, Use, Sale, or Furnishing Controlled <br> Substance, Alcohol, Intoxicant | 22 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| $489915(\mathrm{c})$ | Used, Caused, Attempted, or Threatened <br> Physical Injury | 13 | 36 | 42 | 14 | 11 |
| $48900(\mathrm{a})(1)$ | Obscene Acts, Profanity and Vulgarity | 14 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| $48900(\mathrm{j})$ | Oisruption, Defiance | 34 | 21 | 22 | 5 | 4 |
| $48900(\mathrm{k})$ | Dexual Battery or Assault | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 48900.2 | Property Damage, Vandalism |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $48900(\mathrm{f})$ | Terrosit Threats | 111 | 102 | 117 | 53 | 29 |
| 48900.7 | TOTAL \# OF SUSPENDABLE OFFENSES |  |  | 1 |  |  |

Source: WCCUSD PowerSchool

## Pupil Suspension \& Expulsion Rates

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment multiplied by 100. The suspension rate in the last three years has dropped by $5.3 \%$.

| Rate | Hercules High School |  |  | WCCUSD |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ |
| Suspensions | $8.00 \%$ | $7.90 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ | $5.80 \%$ | $5.60 \%$ |
| Expulsions | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |

Source: datal.cde.ca.gove

## Drop Out Rate:

The table below depicts the drop out rate comparison with West Contra Costa Unified School
District, Contra County and the state of California.

| Drop Out Rate | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hercules High | $0.9 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |
| District | $7.2 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $8.67 \%$ |
| County | $5.8 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $6.19 \%$ |
| State | $10.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $11.59 \%$ |

Source: datal.cde.ca.gove

## School Attendance Rates: Average Daily Attendance Rate

The attendance rate for our school is calculated by multiplying the total number of school days in the attendance period by the total number of students enrolled throughout that attendance period, minus the total number of absences that were recorded, divided by the perfect attendance figure.


Source: WCCUSD PowerSchool
The ADA (average daily attendance) 3 year average is $94.88 \%$. In the last three years we have seen the average daily attendance rate relatively steady at $94 \%$

## Chronic Absenteeism Rate: Truancy Rate

The California Legislature defines truancy as an individual student who misses more than 30 minutes of instructional time, without a verified excuse, more than three times during the school year. The Truancy Rate is calculated by dividing the number of students with more than 5 unexcused absences by the number of students enrolled in the school, multiplied by 100 to create a percentage value. (EC Section 48260)

The \#'s below represent the number of truancy letters generated over the past three years.
Throughout the last 5 years of record keeping, we have seen an $18 \%$ drop in the number of truancy notifications being sent out to students.

| TRUANCY RATE |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Year | Census <br> Enrollment | \# of <br> Recorded <br> Truants | Truancy Rate |
| $2012-13$ | 1,006 | 398 | $39.56 \%$ |
| $2013-14$ | 1015 | 382 | $37.64 \%$ |
| $2014-15$ | 939 | 315 | $33.55 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ | 984 | 208 | $21.14 \%$ |

Source: WCCUSD PowerSchool

Attendance and truancies at Hercules High School are monitored closely in several ways. Parents are notified of student absences on a daily basis via the autodial phone system (automated dialer \&/or personal phone calls), and online via PowerSchool attendance records. Parents receive written notification on school letter head, every time their child has more than 5 unexcused absences. A second letter home is generated out when students have 15 or more unexcused absences, and a third letter is posted when student(s) have reached 30 unexcused absences in addition to a scheduled parent conference with a school administrator. WCCUSD utilizes Blackboard Connect, an automated phone messaging system to communicate with parents whenever their child has an unverified absence.

## Chapter II: Significant Changes and Developments, since 2016-17 School Year

## Career Technical Education/Pathways

In previous years, Hercules High School collaborated with the Contra Costa County Office of Education in their Regional Occupational Program, which provided financial support for Career Technical Education courses in Computer Science, Yearbook, Play Production, and Bio Tech, through Regional Occupational Centers and Programs Block Grant (ROCP). The transition to Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) eliminated or limited most ROP grants including the ROCP block grant. Over the last three years, the state has transitioned to limited-term grant programs to support ROP/CTE programs.

In 2017-18, West Contra Costa Unified School District (WCCUSD) provided Career Technical Education Incentive Grant (CTEIG) monies to support two pathways at Hercules High School: Medical Careers and Information Technology. Through CTEIG, we were able to support our two pathways, which include three courses for Medical Careers and four courses for Informational Technology. We were fortunate to have two staff members, who were qualified to teach CTE Medical Careers and Information Technology in 2018-19.

## 2017-18:

- Medical Careers Course Offerings:
- Anatomy Physiology for 10th grade and Medical Careers for 11th grade
- Information Technology Course Offerings:
- Computer Programming, Digital Computer Graphic Design, AP Computer Science Principles


## 2018-19:

- Medical Careers Course Offerings:
- Anatomy Physiology for 10th grade and Medical Careers for 11th grade and Rehabilitative Therapy for 12th grade
- Information Technology Course Offerings:
- Computer Graphics for 10th grade, Computer Programming for 11th grade, and AP Computer Science Principles for 12th grade


## 2019-20:

- Medical Careers Course Offerings:
- Anatomy Physiology for 10th grade and Medical Careers for 11th grade and Rehabilitative Therapy for 12th grade
- In 2019-20, we were not successful in hiring a medical career teacher. Students who were enrolled in Medical Career pathway are currently enrolled in CTE courses through Edgenuity.
- Medical Careers - $10^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Heath Science Concepts $-11^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Nursing Assistant $-12^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Information Technology Course Offerings:
- In 2018 the Informational Technology (IT) academy transitioned away from Computer Graphics Design to Software and System Development (SSD).
- In 2019 Hercules received Project Lead The Way (PLTW) Computer Science funding to further enrich the program. Currently two of the four PLTW SSD Pathway courses are offered at Hercules. The grant paid towards additional certification required by PLTW prior to authorizing site licenses to teach the courses and towards specialized systems.
- PLTW Computer Science Essentials for 10th grade,
- AP Computer Science Principles for 11th grade,
- PLTW AP Computer Science A for 12th grade students.

The build for our pathways is still a process we endure. Our grade level classes are not pure and students are not required to stay in the pathway for three consecutive years.

## Information Technology Pathway Lab/Classroom Renovation

In 2019, room H102 was transformed into an Information Technology Lab, equipped with the following:

## Furniture and Equipment

- A floor mounted 36 unit laptop charger and locker unit
- Twenty sets of active task classroom tables with two active task chairs per table for individual, pair, and group work
- Wall mounted Audio/Video projector system with electric roll up projection screen
- Two sets of large white boards
- Two flat screen vizio smart TVs used for displaying student work
- A spare set of mobile projection assembly


## Hardware and Software

- Thirty Lenovo Thinkpad P52s Mobile Workstations with 8th Gen Intel Core i7
- Additional specialty software suits for software and system development installed on Lenovo mobile workstations
- Twenty sets of Acer Iconia B3-A50 Android Tablets for App Development
- Ten sets of pre-assembled Vex Robots with Vision Sensors for robotics
- Three sets of Grid Map kits and multi-color game tiles for Vex Vision Sensors


## Books and Subscriptions

- Ten sets of in-class textbooks:"Invitation to Computer Science" by National Geographic Learning/Cengage Learning
- Sixty annual online student subscription to the above book to be used over six years


## Supplies

- Set of 60 PLTW notebooks
- Set of 10 status cones


## Old material transferred from H106 (PC Computer Lab)

- A classroom set of Java textbooks
- A portable projector screen
- A class set of KOSS UR/10 headsets


## Technology Plan/One to One Tablet Initiative

In December of 2017, WCCUSD embarked on a one- to one technology initiative. Hercules High School led the district through the one-one tablet initiative, as a pilot school. Lenovo and Acer tablets were distributed to all students. The Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) took the initiative and developed a technology based learning SMART goal. The district transitioned from Lenovo and Acer tablets to Chromebook at the end of the 2019 school year for all secondary schools (8-12). At the start of 2019-20 school year, all students received Chromebooks. At the beginning of each school year, Chromebooks are distributed to all $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students. All Chromebooks are collected at the end of each student's senior year.

In addition to the Chromebook for all students, all classrooms are supplied with a technology cart that allows the use of document camera, overhead projector, and speakers. Teachers are also provided a laptop.

## Bell Schedule

Years prior to and including the 2016-17 academic year, our bell schedule consisted of seven periods with each period containing 56 instructional minutes, and a 6 minute passing period between each period. "A" period started at 7:14am and 6th period ended at $3: 13 \mathrm{pm}$ on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday's. The Wednesday collaboration schedule consisted of seven periods with each period containing 43 instructional minutes with a 6-minute passing period after each period. "A" period began at 7:20am and 6th period ended at 1:48pm. The difference between the regular bell schedule end time and the Wednesday end time was 25 minutes. Staff would use this time to hold collaboration meetings and would voluntarily work past the allotted 25 minutes depending on the tasks that were needed to be completed.

In May of 2017, our staff approved a new bell schedule that allotted one hour and 30 minutes for collaboration activities. The changes to the 2017-18 academic year bell schedule resulted in a seven period day, with each period containing 58 instructional minutes and a 6 minute passing period. "A" period started at 7:15am and 6th period ended at 3:25pm on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday's. The Wednesday collaboration schedule consisted of seven periods with
each period containing 43 instructional minutes with a 6-minute passing period after each period. " $A$ " period begins at 7:30 am and 6th period ends at $1: 55 \mathrm{pm}$. The difference between the regular bell schedule end time and the Wednesday end time is 1 hour and 30 minutes. Collaboration meetings occur on Wednesdays from 2:10 pm - 3:25 pm.

## Professional Development/Collaboration

Over the last three years, WCCUSD and United Teachers of Richmond (UTR) entered a yearly Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to establish a framework for an Instructional Leadership Team (ILT). The intent of the ILT is to encourage and develop a culture of collaboration among teachers, to guide the teaching and learning process, as well as to improve student learning and achievement. The MOU outlined roles and responsibilities for department chairs, and ILT subcommittee liaisons. In May of 2019, WCUSD and UTR agreed to contract language that would outline staff development. The new language incorporated the ILT model of previous years, the role of department chairs and a process to schedule 30 hours of staff development per year. In addition, the new language included a process to agree upon the meeting schedule and content for school based staff development. The UTR contract also states that the professional development plan for the new academic year be planned out prior to the end of the current year.

In May of 2019, all faculty submitted their top five topics of potential PD sessions through google form. All responses were collected, analyzed and tallied by the ILT. The five topic choices that were the most prevalent were chosen as the PD topics for the 2019/2020 school year. The process will undergo some revision and be repeated this coming April, 2020.

## Site Based Professional Development Plan

The Instructional Leadership Team (ILT), 2019-20 welcomed our new Assistant Principal, Marcela Taylor as the administrator assigned to lead the ILT. In addition, we appointed Ms. Chelsea Lounnas as the WASC/Professional Development Coordinator. The focus of the ILT, for 2019-20 school year is data driven instructional practices.

The 2019-2020 Instructional Leadership Team has focused on developing a data driven decision making mindset, building a culture of data literacy, and expanding a school wide collaborative culture. Our ILT continues to incorporate WASC goals into their professional development plan. Focal points of the ILT, 29-20:

- Increase comfort with cycles of inquiry
- Experiencing instructional strategies that encourage student engagement
- Feel better connected to each other by learning about each other and learning from one another
- Experiencing a collaborative culture
- Feel included in the decision making process
- Develop a culture of teachers providing feedback about PD meeting,
- Develop a department/learning community PD plans for 2019-2020 and 2020-2021


## English Language Arts Curriculum Development/Adoption

In 2013, WCCUSD transitioned to Prentice Hall Common Core, 2012 edition for all college prep English Language Arts (ELA) grade level courses. In 2019, WCCUSD adopted Springboard Curriculum, a product of College Board. Springboard supports all college prep grade level English courses. The Springboard curriculum is aligned to Common Core State Standards and reinforces critical thinking, disciplinary literacy, analysis, problem solving and application to real world situations within English Language Arts.

The English Department piloted Springboard in the 2018-2019 school year, grades 9-11. Our English department felt that this curriculum allows for grade levels to be in step with one another. Springboard can be accessed online and in print. Each student has their own consumable copy allowing for annotations. We are currently utilizing Springboard for our 11th and 12th grade courses as supplemental curriculum for 2019-10 school year.

The English department teachers utilize Google classrooms to deliver instruction as well as collect student work. Google, a great resource, makes feedback efficient, timely, and accessible.

On Google Classroom, students make-up assignments, take quizzes on Google Forms, view presentations on Google Slides, and the platform allows teachers to communicate with parents.

The English department reviews student achievement data at many levels which include but not limited to the following: Lexile levels as determined by the SRI (Scholastic Reading Inventory), quarter grades, SBAC, Advanced Placement, SAT/PSAT data.

Read 180 continues to be offered to students in need of remediation in reading. Indicative of its success to date, five students have tested out of Read 180 since the beginning of the year. Students use the Read 180 lab for classwork, and can revisit their work at home on their laptops. Parents have access to the Read 180 resource through the child.

Teachers would like to offer electives such as Creative Writing, Journalism, or Film. However, budget constraints make this doubtful.

## Math Curriculum/Development

## 2017-18:

Students became one-to-one with technology during this school year enabling the math department to utilize online resources such as Khan Academy and Shmoop University to supplement direct instruction. In addition, this school year was the year our department worked hard to ensure curriculum alignment within each class as well as grading scales and learning outcomes for each course. Finally, this school year, each teacher was encouraged to teach a variety of grade levels and subjects to get a more broad picture of mathematics instruction and student performance at a wider range of ability levels. The intent was to distribute Algebra I sections among all department teachers, so each teacher would have a minimum of one Algebra section.

## 2018-19:

This school year was the first year teachers administered the IAB (interim SBAC) in November and February. The math department was able to use the data as a way to supplement instruction, re-teach, and prepare for the actual SBAC administered in April. As a result, there was a positive year-over-year increase in math scores from $26 \%$ to $38 \%$ of students who met or exceeded standards on the SBAC in mathematics (+12\%). In addition, our distance from standard (DFS) school wide score improved by 18.8 points year-over-year, landing the math department in the green level of achievement in the California dashboard of student performance.

## 2019-20:

College Board has implemented an interactive classroom with detailed planning guides, agendas, lessons, and activities. AP teachers have created virtual classrooms on this platform and are piloting the materials extensively. Initial feedback has been very positive, resources are aligned with expected learning outcomes for both AP Calculus (AB) and AP Statistics classes.

The math department has experienced the full implementation of a new district-adopted curriculum for Geometry and Algebra II: Pearson's enVision program, over the last two years. District curriculum guides were further updated in 2019-2020 to ensure proper standards alignment as well as offering additional math coach-created lessons for classroom use.

Highlights in math include a curriculum that is better-aligned to Common Core State Standards, Math (CCSS-M), a student-friendly Student Companion for in-class practice, and fully operational online components, including the digital textbook, assignments, and assessments.

## Science Curriculum Development: Next Generation Science Standards

Over the past three years, our district has developed stages to support the implementation of Next Generation Science Standards. There are four stages to this plan: Initial Exposure to NGSS, Deepening Understanding of NGSS, Planning instruction around NGSS, and full alignment of instruction to NGSS.

## 2017-18:

District began transition to NGSS. Our department aligned our textbook Pearson Chemistry, 2008 edition, to the NGSS, using the "A Correlation of Pearson Chemistry, ©2012 to the Next Generation Science Standards, May 2013 Grades 9-12". All chemistry classes transitioned to three-dimensional learning, per our regional training in the spring of 2018.

## 2018-19:

The science department began implementation of "Model Based Biology" (https://www.modelbasedbiology.com), which was developed to implement a full year of high school biology that engages students in three-dimensional learning as called for in the Framework for K-12 Science Education and the Next Generation Science Standards. This curriculum was used, in all biology classes.

## 2019-20:

Science department adopted a new learning platform that aligns to NGSS, called cK-12 Biology and Chemistry (https://www.ck12.org/my/dashboard-new/content/standards/). The textbook is online, interactive, and is fully integrated with Google Classroom. The platform uses adaptive practice, and lessons are customizable to increase student performance. All our other resources are integrated and aligned with NGSS.

## Social Sciences

Since 2017/2018, we are placing greater emphasis on ELA literacy standards using evidence based essay writing. We are developing critical thinking skills where students are able to support a claim with sufficient evidence. Document Based Questions and argumentative essays are used to engage students in activities that support the previously stated skills.

Speaking skills are developed through class discussions, Socratic seminar, formal and informal debates.

Active listening is taught through lecture notes, current event presentations, fill in the blank notes, comprehension guides with documentaries and podcasts.

Collaboration between department members is carried out through informal face-to-face meetings, google applications and email. In addition, courses that are taught by different teachers have shared curriculum such as study guides.

## Applied Research Methods: 9th Grade Core

In previous years, WCCUSD required all students to successfully pass 4 years of Social Science, which included Cultural Geography for all $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students. In 2017, WCCUSD revised the 4year Social Science requirement to a 3 year, which resulted in the alteration of our course offerings for 9th grade students.

In 2017-18, we added an Applied Research Methodology (ARM) course, which all 9th grade students take as a replacement for Cultural Geography. The intent of the ARM course is to build foundational skills to support all 9th grade students as they enter high school. The focus of the course is to improve reading, evidence based writing, research and presentation skills. It is also designed to help with preparation for both the SBAC and PSAT/SAT. Although the course is not officially a Social Science course, it uses the 9th grade version of Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, \& Technical Subjects standards. The course focus is on development of critical skills, which include: Reading, Thinking, Listening, Writing, and Speaking.

## 2019-20:

This is the third year of Applied Research Methods (ARM) for all $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students. At the current time, students who have an academic tutorial period as a part of their Individual Education Plan (IEP) are not enrolled in the ARM class. Students who also have an intervention class as prescribed by assessment scores are not enrolled into ARM. Students who are enrolled in a CTE course or Band/Orchestra had the option of taking an A period extra class in order to fit ARM into their schedule.

## Special Education Program

WCCUSD special education department implemented changes for the 2019-20 school year. In previous years, special education students were allowed to earn a high school diploma if they
successfully completed 9000 level (SPED) courses taught by a credentialed teacher in that specific subject. The 9000 level courses offered smaller class sizes (under 12) taught at a slower pace with a using a modified curriculum for each course. At the start of the 2019-20 school year, we discontinued all 9000 level SPED courses for diploma track students. All SPED diploma track students were expected to pass general education college prep courses to satisfy WCCUSD graduation requirements.

The Resource Specialist Program (RSP) continues to provide support for students with learning disabilities. At the beginning of this school year, all RSP students were placed in general education courses. Students identified as RSP were placed and remain on a diploma path. RSP teachers, Instructional Aide (IA) and Classroom Support Aide (CSA) support each student through a Push-In Model. The Push-In Model SPED staff a period within their work day to "push-in" general education classes that house SPED students. Each RSP student has an academic tutorial period assigned to their daily schedule, which allows opportunities for a specialized testing environment, as well as structured time to complete classroom assignments and projects.

Changes were also made to the terminology used to classify special education students.
Severely Handicapped (SH) classification has changed to Extensive Support Needs (ESN). All students in the ESN program are placed on certificate of completion track.

Non Severely Handicapped (NSH) is now referred to as Mild to Moderate Support Needs (MMSN). Students classified as MMSN are placed in certificate of completion track.

## World Languages

The World Language department has experienced changes within personnel and curriculum. The department utilizes technology to deliver instruction and has recently implemented
goformative.com to provide formative and summative assessments. Goformative.com provides immediate data feedback that identifies the content areas that students need additional support.

## 2017-18

Mrs. Cody joined the department for teaching French and adopted the new curriculum "T'es branché". This curriculum is aligned with the common core state standards and offers and online Textbook available via clever .

## 2018-19

Mrs. Bruns, Spanish level 1 and 3 retired. Mr. Onsei was hired to replace her and taught Spanish 1 and 3 levels. We began to use Quizlet for supporting vocabulary acquisition.

## 2019-20

Due to lower enrollment, we are now down to three teachers, Mrs. Biln, Spanish Level 1 and 2, Mrs. Hernandez, Spanish level 2, 3 and a class of level 4 Honors combined with AP Spanish. Mrs. Cody teaches French level 1 to 4 . We also implemented the use of goformative.com, a platform that we use in order to evaluate our students acquisition of knowledge during the lesson.

## Visual and Performing Arts Department

## Jazz Dance Studio

In 2015-16, we added Jazz dance to our academic program. The program grew rapidly in population and all classes were being held in the gym. In May of 2017 the district approved the conversion of a classroom portable into a dance room studio. The new dance room has new wood flooring, mirrors and cabinet storage for student belongings. We currently offer 4 sections of Jazz Dance: two sections of Jazz Dance 1, one section of Jazz Dance 2, and one section of Dance Production. The overall enrollment in these classes is 125 students.

## Music

For the 2018-2019 school year, Arleen Wong joined the HHS staff as the music teacher. She obtained her single subject credential in music in this same year. The Concert Choir went back to being a mixed gender class. In addition, a Beginning Band class was added to the curriculum. In 2019-2020, the Beginning Band class was changed to a Music Appreciation class. Thirty ukuleles were purchased to facilitate the learning of how to read music and performance. Students are taught how to sing and play the ukulele simultaneously. Tablets are used to assist the students in learning how to identify and learn various ukulele chords and the reading of ukulele music.

## Art

In 2018-2019, two digital photography classes were added to the class offerings. This continues for 2019-2020. In the summer of 2019, Daphne Schrampf attended the training for AP Studio Art: Drawing. 2018-2019, Jamey Genna started teaching three beginning art, one theatre and one play production class.

## Theatre

In 2018-2019, Ms. Genna received a CTE credential in arts and media entertainment. She was able to add a play production class.

## PE Department

During the 2016-2017 school year, there was 1 elective weight training class and 8 general PE classes. Within the past year, the PE department has lost a class of general PE (7 classes total) and gained an elective weight training class. Also, one of the three PE teachers resigned from her position, with a new hire to follow for the 2019-2020 school year. The elective weight training class has seen exponential growth over the past couple years, leading to increased class sizes and high demand. The impact of these changes have positive and negative outcomes. First, the
positive outcome of these changes seem to show that students are increasing their interest for physical education classes. On the contrary, with this new found interest, PE classes have seen a spike in class size. Therefore, more classes should be offered to tailor to the increase of student enrollment for physical education classes.

## College and Career Center

Over the last 6 years, the College and Career Center has housed the EAOP/DCAC College Advisor.

In the Spring of 2018-2019 school year, the college career center space was re-organized to accommodate our two academic counselors, the EAOP/DCAC College Advisor as well as our post-secondary transition counselor for special education.

By the end of the 2018-2019 school year, the center opened a School Supply Pantry. All students are welcome to come to the center and pick up any school supplies they are in need of, no questions asked. Currently, students can pick up pens, highlighters, pencils, sticky notes, notebooks, binders, binder organizers, college ruled paper, folders, and menstrual products. By December of 2019, this will be expanded to include snacks, microwaveable food, and hygiene kits.

In the fall of 2019, new furniture in the form of 6 flip-style rectangular tables were provided to enhance the work space for students as well as allow opportunity for group, cohort, and grade level presentations. Three desktop computer stations remain to accommodate any student who does not have the use of their Chrome Tablet.

Currently, the center is a welcoming space for all students; individual, EAOP cohort meetings, Rising Scholars Meetings, and other College Preparation Presentations happen during the day with passes sent to excuse students from class. In addition, it is open at lunch to the entire student body; students can be found working on college and scholarship applications, doing
career research or homework, or meeting with one of the academic counselors or the EAOP/DCAC College Advisor. Starting in the fall of 2019, each Friday at lunch all seniors are invited specifically to come to the space to work on college or scholarship applications.

## Security Cameras

Hercules High School/Middle School campus was built without security cameras. Over the last four years, we have had multiple vandalism incidents throughout campus. In addition, we were not able to properly investigate and resolve disruptive behaviors that occur throughout the school day.

## 2017-18:

Video surveillance cameras were installed in the multi-purpose room, which is also the cafeteria. Two additional video surveillance cameras were also installed inside the gymnasium.

## 2018-19:

Video surveillance cameras were installed in two locations on campus. Video surveillance cameras were installed on the corner of Library building with multi-imagers with 270 degree coverage. Additional video surveillance cameras were also mounted on light pole outside middle brown pedestrian gate.

## 2019-20

Video surveillance cameras were installed in two locations on campus. Cameras were installed on the outside Eastern and Western corner of the cafeteria building. An additional camera was placed on the clock tower overlooking the student parking lot and the drop off-pick up turn around area.

## Systemic School wide Intervention/Supports: Multi-Tiered Support Systems

Over the past three years, Hercules High School has initiated school wide intervention and supports for all students through Response to Intervention (RTI) and Positive Behavioral

Intervention and Support (PBIS) frameworks. The ILT led these initiatives and provided information to staff through site based professional learning sessions.

In the summer of 2019, WCCUSD school board adopted a Positive Climate Resolution which stipulated all secondary schools implement a Multi-Tiered Support System (MTSS).

The adoption of MTSS aligned directly to previous steps we initiated with RTI and PBIS. Through MTSS we intend to provide both behavioral and academic based interventions and supports to improve learning outcomes for all students. In addition, the district's Positive Climate Resolution promotes a positive relationship culture, characterized by a school environment that is emotionally safe, trusting and nurtures mutually respectful relationships among the entire school community.

## Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports

PBIS was introduced at the beginning of the 2018-19 school year. Staff participated in several activities that led to the development of our Performance Behavioral Standards: Respect, Responsibility and Prepared. We termed these behavioral standards as the "Titan Way".

Currently, our student senate is taking the lead on developing the behavioral standards for specific areas of campus. Implementation of PBIS continues to be an initiative that we develop.

## Climate TEAM

In previous years, Hercules High School has held safety team meetings to discuss school climate data. The school safety team consisted of school resource officers, campus security officers and site administration. Part of the WCCUSD positive school climate initiative supported the establishment of a climate team at each of the secondary sites. At the beginning of 2019, we rolled out a new and revised climate team. The climate team consists of the following: three teachers, health center coordinator, school psychologist, parent, and both assistant principals.

The climate team has established monthly meetings. The climate team's mission is to establish, maintain, and celebrate positive behavior across campus by analyzing school climate data: Attendance, Truancy, Tardies, Suspension, Discipline Referrals, D's \& F's.

Climate Team Theory of Action:
"If we learn and adopt collaborative processes and systems (PBIS) as well as action plans grounded in the needs and asset data of all our students... then we will see an increase in positive behavior as measured in a decrease in the number of student referrals, suspensions, absences and tardies."

Starting with its first meeting in September and in meetings to follow ... the climate team discussed its multi-tiered intervention system as part of making sure that every member possessed both common knowledge and vocabulary of what the team was working to create and maintain.

Along with gaining common knowledge and vocabulary regarding our school MTSS triangle, the climate team used perceptive data (Youth Truth Survey) as well as attendance, discipline/suspension data to guide professional development activities for staff

## C.A.R.E TEAM

In previous years, our Health Center Coordinator facilitated a Coordination of Services Team (C.O.S.T). The COST team focused on discussing high-risk students, creating action plans, reporting and monitoring individual student progress.

In December 2019, WCCUSD changed the C.O.S.T to Coordination, Access, Resource and Equity (C.A.R.E) model. The vision of the C.A.R.E. Team is to support the whole child through social, emotional and academic challenges.
C.A.R.E Theory of Action:
"If we engage in "student centered" collaboration between teachers and our team around implementation of tier 2 or 3 interventions for their students referred to \& accepted by our C.A.R.E team... then we will see an increase in positive behavior in these same students... as measured by them receiving less class referrals, suspensions, absences, and tardies over the course of four to six weeks."

## Equal Opportunity Schools (EOS): Hercules High School

At the beginning 2019-20 school year, Hercules High School joined in a collaborative agreement with EOS. The purpose of the collaborative agreement was to identify and enroll underserved/underrepresented subgroup populations in advanced placement courses at Hercules High School. The overall process includes identifying and recruiting team members, data collection, data analysis/interpretation, build awareness of need, promote/recruit AP courses to underserved and underrepresented population, and enroll. The continued effort will be to support and intervene throughout the school year with selected/identified students who were enrolled in AP courses for 2019-20 school year.

## Parent Involvement/Parent Engagement

We continue our efforts to expand on Parent Involvement and Parent Engagement. Over the last couple of years we have added parent groups, expanded the number of parents who are involved and continue to recruit more parents to be involved and engaged in their school community.

## African American Parent Advisory Council (AAPAC)

The AAPAC conducts meetings once a month. The focus of AAPAC is to engage the AfricanAmerican community at Hercules High School. The intent of AAPAC is to improve academic outcomes for African American students by providing families the resources to be informed about their child's academic achievement and cognitive development. The ultimate goal of the AAPAC is to advocate for programs and services that will increase African-American students preparation for college/career and/or work force.

## PTO

The Parent Teacher Organization continues to meet monthly. In the fall of 2019, the members of the HHS and HMS parent-teacher organization decided to combine efforts and be part of one organization.

## Parent Educational Advancement Community (PEACH)

The Parent for the Educational Advancement Community of Hercules (PEACH) is a grassroots, parents-led, community-based organization that was founded in May of 2019. Parents from Hercules High School and Hercules Middle School found that working together and partnering on initiatives (e.g., Hercules Maker Fair and Teacher Appreciation Days) did not just provide an avenue for community development it also provided for a more sustainable source support.

Along the way, the parents realized that creating a parent-network through all 5 Hercules schools is the best way to support our students. PEACH is committed to engaging parents, and to ensuring that Hercules parents are informed. For instance, in October, the District provided training about the functions and requirements for the SSCs to more than a dozen Hercules parents. PEACH is also working with Principal Mansingh in rolling out the Parent Education Series. The planned topics list is below;

- Feb - social-emotional well-being and school-life balance
- March - STEM, STEAM, \& other stuff in Hercules (Hercules Maker Fair is 3/28)
- April - Special Ed (April is autism awareness month)
- May - Moving on, moving out, transitions


## School Site Council

The HHS SSC continues to be a stable and strong advisory body. The SSC members for 20192020 are composed of familiar faces who have been involved in the SSC for several years. Consistent attendees include 4 parents, 2-3 faculty, 1 student, and 2-3 administrator. Principal Mansingh and AP Newson have consistently provided relevant and informative data about the school.

## Chapter III: Ongoing School Improvement

- Describe the process of engagement of all stakeholders in review of the student achievement data and the implementation and monitoring of the school wide action plan
- Describe the process used to prepare the progress report

Hercules High School provides opportunity for stakeholder involvement through the following regularly occurring meetings: All Staff, Departments, Instructional Leadership Team (ILT), Management Team, School Site Council, African Parent Advisory Counsel, Parent Teacher Organization, Student Senate, and Site Based Professional Learning. Each of the stakeholder groups are provided opportunities to review student achievement data, discuss and measure the extent of success within each program, and make recommendations on next steps. Leaders of each stakeholder group are focused on a continuous cycle of improvement through the review of data.

Student achievement data is presented to our school community on a regular basis. Student achievement data is presented to staff on a quarterly basis. Parents receive quarterly grade reports every 9 weeks and progress reports are distributed to students every 4.5 weeks. State, Local and district assessment results are presented and reviewed when made available. ILT has developed a process to review student data as part of our professional development plan. Each department creates a yearly cycle of inquiry to assess their level of student achievement and to guide their instructional strategies to support intervention and enrichment.

Parent engagement is currently through the Parent Teacher Organization, School Site Council, Hercules Education Foundation, African American Parent Advisory Council, and PEACH. Student achievement data is presented and made available to our parents at their monthly meetings.

The implementation and monitoring of student achievement data is outlined in chapter 5. Our action plan identifies specific groups that are responsible for carrying out identified tasks. Our Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) has taken the lead with implementing and monitoring the schoolwide action plan. At the beginning of the 2019-20 school year, our new assistant principal has worked with the ILT to develop a cohesive and coherent professional development plan for
the current school year and has taken strides in revising that plan for the upcoming school year. We were fortunate to have our librarian as the WASC/PD coordinator. The two have collaborated with the ILT to develop the components of this report.

## Preparation of Report: Timeline

| Date of Meeting to address Task | Description of Task/Activity | Participants/Groups | Product in Progress <br> Report |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuesday, September 3, 2019 | Update student/community profile | Admin/WASC Coordinator | Student/Community Profile |
| Monday, September 23, 2019 | Leadership Team Meeting - pertaining to WASC, Cycle of Inquiry, Data Cylcles | Admin/WASC Coordinator | All Staff |
| Wednesday, September 25, 2019 | Site Based Professional Learning: WASC <br> Data Analysis, Data Cycles, Local Data | Admin/WASC Coordinator | Student/Community Profile |
| Friday, September 27, 2019 | Send Google form to collect Staff Demographic Data | Certificated/Classified Staff | Chapter I: Student <br> Community Profile |
| Monday, September 30, 2019 | Send Invitations out for Student Senate Nominations | Teachers | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Monday, September 30, 2019 | WASC Team Drive: Share Student/Community Profile | All Staff | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, October 3, 2019 | WASC Presentation to ILT: Overview | ILT Members | Chapter I: Student Community Profile |
| Wednesday, October 9, 2019 | WASC: Significant Changes and Developments | ILT Members | Chapter II: Significant School <br> Changes and Developments |
| Thursday, October 17, 2019 | Parent Teacher Organization - Share Chapter I Profile Data | Parent Group/PTO | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, October 24, 2019 | Student Senate - Share Chapter I Profile Data | Student Senate | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, October 24, 2019 | School Site Council - Share Chapter I Profile Data | School Site Council | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, October 24, 2019 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting: Updated Summary with Implications, Identify | ILT | Chapter II: Significant School Changes |
| Wednesday, October 30, 2019 | Department Meeting: WASC, Updated Summary with Implications, Identify Critical | All Staff | Chapter 1: <br> Student/Community Profile |
| Friday, November 1, 2019 | Student Senate: Positive Behavior Intervention Supports | Student Senate | Chapter IV \& V |
| Monday, November 4, 2019 | School Site Council: Schoolwide Data, CA Dashboard | School Site Council | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Monday, November 4, 2019 | Parent Teacher Organization - | Parent Group/PTO | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, November 7, 2019 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting/WASC |  | Chapter I: Data Cycles Stage 3 \& 4 |
| Tuesday, November 12, 2019 | Ch. 2 Significant Changes (Review/Revise) | Lounnas | Chapter II: Significant <br> Changes Update |
| Wednesday, November 13, 2019 | Review Student Achievement Data: | ILT/All Staff Meeting | Chapter I: School Profile updated |
| Thursday, November 14, 2019 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting/WASC | ILT Meetings | Data Cycles: Building Department Agenda |
| Monday, November 18, 2019 | WASC Coordinator Meeting | WASC Coord/Admin | Reset - Process/Procedures |
| Wednesday, November 20, 2019 | Department Meeting: WASC, Ch. 4 Progress on Critical Areas | ILT/Departments | Data Cycles: Building 1st Deliverable for data select - |
| Wednesday, November 20, 2019 | Provide Analytical Comments on the accomplishment of each schoolwide action | All Staff | Chapter IV: Progress on Critical Areas For Follow Up |
| Friday, November 22, 2019 | Data Collection - Staff CDE/Data Quest | WASC Coord/Admin | Chapter I: School Profile updated |
| Friday, November 22, 2019 | Revise Chapter I: School Profile | WASC Coord/Admin | Chapter I: School Profile updated |
| Friday, November 22, 2019 | Revise Chapter II: Narrative on Progress | WASC Coord/Admin | Chapter II: Significant Changes Update |
| Friday, November 22, 2019 | Revise Chapter II: Findings( set template) | WASC Coord/Admin | Chapter II: Significant <br> Changes Update |


| Date of Meeting to address Task | Description of Task/Activity | Participants/Groups | Product in Progress Report |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday, December 2, 2019 | School Site Council - | School Site Council | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Monday, December 2, 2019 | Parent Teacher Organization - | PTO | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, December 5, 2019 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting | ILT | Chapter II: Significant Changes Update |
| Wednesday, December 11, 2019 | WASC: Ch. IV Progress on Critical Areas of Follow Up | All Staff | Ch. IV - Update/Changes |
| Wednesday, January 22, 2020 | Review Update Ch. 1 - Identify Critical Learner needs, summary of data with staff | All Staff |  |
| Wednesday, January 22, 2020 | Site Based Professional Learning: Ch. 5 Action Plan Refinement | All Staff | Ch. I: Update/Changes |
| Thursday, January 23, 2020 | ILT Meeting | ILT | Chapter IV \& V |
| Wednesday, January 29, 2020 | Department Meeting: WASC Ch. 5 Action Plan Refinement | All Staff | Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan Refinements |
| Tuesday, February 4, 2020 | Student Senate - | Student Senate | Chapter IV \& V |
| Tuesday, February 4, 2020 | School Site Council - | School Site Council | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Tuesday, February 4, 2020 | Parent Teacher Organization - | PTO | Stakeholder Involvement |
| Thursday, February 6, 2020 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting/WASC | ILT | Chapter IV \& V |
| Wednesday, February 12, 2020 | Site Based Professional Learning: WASC: Ch. 5 Action Plan Refinement | All Staff | Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan Refinements |
| Thursday, February 20, 2020 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting/WASC | ILT | Chapter IV \& V |
| Wednesday, February 26, 2020 | Department Meeting | All Staff | Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan Refinements |
| Thursday, March 5, 2020 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting/WASC | ILT | Chapter IV \& V |
| Wednesday, March 11, 2020 | Department Meeting/WASC | All Staff | Chapter V: Schoolwide Action Plan Refinements |
| Friday, March 13, 2020 | Submit Progress Report to WASC VC Team | ILT | Midterm Progres Report |
| Thursday, March 26, 2020 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting | ILT | Review Full Reprt |
| Thursday, April 2, 2020 | Instructional Leadership Team Meeting | ILT | Review Full Reprt |
| Wednesday, April 15, 2020 | Department Meeting/WASC Visit Prep | ILT | Review Full Reprt |
| Monday, April 20, 2020 | WASC Visit |  |  |

## The process used to prepare the progress report

Throughout the last three years, we have transcended from plastic binders filled with paper documents to shared team drives containing electronic documents. The transition has not been without challenges, but the overall feel is that google technology assisted us in the developing this progress report. Assistant Principal Marcela Taylor and WASC/PD Coordinator, Chelsea Lounnas, led the Instructional Leadership Team through a cycle of inquiry that intertwined the
implementation of WASC goals with site based professional development plan. All information was captured using google documents and google forms. The google documents were shared with staff for specific feedback pertaining to each chapter. The responses were then reviewed, analyzed, interpreted and placed in various chapters of the WASC mid-term cycle report. The process included all stakeholder groups. The final mid cycle report is the product of the process previously outlined.

## Chapter IV: Self-Study Findings

In the spring of 2017, a WASC visiting committee conducted a Full Self-Study visit at Hercules High School. The result of that study was a six year accreditation with a 3 year Progress Report with a 2 day visit.

The critical areas for follow-up are outlined and summarized below.

1. Administration, and counseling staff will implement and effectively utilize an annual four year plan with all HHS students in order to increase A-G compliance across ethnic and socio-economic groups
2. Staff will develop and execute a schoolwide plan of interventions that will address the educational needs of all individual students as well as struggling subgroups.
3. Staff will develop and implement a collaborative approach that aligns curriculum and instruction so that student performance is congruent throughout all forms of assessment (core academic pass rate, SBAC, and/or college board).

In addition, the visiting committee has identified areas that need to be strengthened:

1. Counseling staff will meet individually with all students and/or their parents annually to revisit, update and maintain the four year plan, and will provide evidence of the meetings.
2. Hercules HS needs to strengthen their EL program to ensure appropriate and rigorous English Learner development including equity of access to curriculum, differentiated instruction, and inclusion of all stakeholders, including parents.
3. After creating common assessments and trying new research-based instructional practices, HHS staff needs to collect, use and analyze data collaboratively and intentionally to increase student achievement.

In preparation for the mid-term progress report, the school has outlined the initiatives implemented to address each critical area of follow-up.

## Schoolwide Critical Areas for Follow Up \#1:

Administration and counseling staff will implement and effectively utilize an annual four-year plan with all HHS students in order to increase A-G compliance across ethnic and socioeconomic groups.

## Narrative \#1

Hercules High School Counselors are committed to providing the most relevant information needed to our students and families. Throughout the school year, counselors take an active role in communicating graduation requirements as well as UC/CSU requirements to all students, through classroom presentations, transcript evaluations, one to one, as well as small and large group meetings. A feature in PowerSchool, Graduation Progress, serves as the four-year plan for each student, and is re-visited in one on one meetings to address academic progress and planning toward graduation and UC/CSU Eligibility.

Over the last four years, we have seen our A--G completion rates rise. The four-year average is at $59.6 \%$ with the high of $64.73 \%$ in 2018. Our school goal is to achieve $70 \%$ by June, 2023 .

| A-G COMPLETION RATES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic <br> Year | Number of <br> graduates | \# Students <br> Passed Course <br> Requirements | \% Students <br> Passed Course <br> Requirements |
| 2019 | 207 | 129 | $62.32 \%$ |
| 2018 | 224 | 145 | $64.73 \%$ |
| 2017 | 262 | 149 | $56.87 \%$ |
| 2016 | 242 | 132 | $54.55 \%$ |
| Four Year <br> Trend | 233.75 | 138.75 | $59.6 \%$ |

Action Plan: School wide Critical Areas for Follow Up \#1

| Description of Specific Actions to address Critical Area \#1. | Supporting Evidence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Targeted outreach group presentations. | Number of students that attend <br> workshops |
| Topics include but are not limited to: FAFSA and financial <br> Aid, A-G requirements, graduation requirements, 4-year <br> plans, summer enrichment programs, SAT/ACT testing, AP <br> outreach, university application, community college <br> enrollment process, etc. | FAFSA completion <br> Senior Exit Survey <br> year acceptance <br> 2 year CC enrollment |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Conduct classroom presentations to review A-G compliance } \\
\text { and graduation requirements, analyze transcripts, and 4-year } \\
\text { planning }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Log Sheets - College/Career } \\
\text { Center } \\
\text { \# of students enrolled in } \\
\text { Edgenuity } \\
\text { More students visiting } \\
\text { college/career center }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Local Review Process - meeting quarterly, letters mailed } \\
\text { home, referrals as needed }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Quarterly letters mailed out } \\
\text { Referrals and transfers } \\
\text { successfully made }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline \begin{array}{l}Counselors meet with students who earn D's and F's to <br>

discuss academic progress and strategies to improve grades.\end{array} \& A-G completion rates 62\%\end{array}\right\}\)| A-G completing and maintain |
| :--- |
| high graduation percentage |$|$| Edgenuity Online Credit Recovery Program |
| :--- |
| Peer Tutoring - Homework Center |
| Quarterly D's \& F's |
| A-G Completion Rate |

## Schoolwide Critical Areas for Follow Up \#2:

Staff will develop and execute a schoolwide plan of interventions that will address the educational needs of all individual students as well as struggling subgroups.

| DISCIPLINE DATA - SUSPENDABLE ACTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EdCode Sectio | Offense Description | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| 48900 (h) | Possessed or used tobacco. nicotine product | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 48915(a)(2) | Possession of a Knife/Dangerous Object | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 48900(1) | Property Theft. receired stolen property | 3 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 |  |
| 48900.4 | Bullying. Harassment/Intimidation | 5 | 17 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 4 |
| 489915(c) | Possession. Use. Sale. or Fumishing Controlled Substance. Alcohol Intoxicant | 12 | 22 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| 48900(a)(1) | Used. Caused. Attempted. or Threatened Physical Injuy | 42 | 13 | 36 | 42 | 14 | 11 |
| 48900(j) | Obscene Acts. Profanity and Vulgatily | 2 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| 48900(k) | Distuption. Defiance | 8 | 34 | 21 | 22 | 5 | 4 |
| 48900.2 | Sexual Battery or Assault | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 48900(f) | Property Damage. Vandalism |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 48900.7 | Terrosit Threats |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  | TOTAL \# OF SUSPENDABLE OFFENSES | 75 | 111 | 102 | 117 | 53 | 29 |

## Narrative \#2:

Over the past three years, Hercules High School has initiated schoolwide intervention and supports through Response to Intervention and Positive Behavioral Intervention and Support (PBIS). The ILT continue to coordinate, plan and develop these initiatives. The ILT utilizes site based professional development sessions to monitor progress of each school wide initiative. WCCUSD school board adopted a Positive Climate Resolution during the summer of 2019, which stipulated all secondary schools implement a Multi-Tiered Support System (MTSS).

The adoption of MTTS directly aligned to previous steps initiated with RTI and PBIS. Through MTSS we intend to provide both behavioral and academic based interventions and supports to improve learning outcomes of all students.

| Description of Specific Actions to address Critical Area \#2. | Supporting Evidence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Introduction to MTSS (Multi-Tiered System of Support) | Phase one, roll out 2019-20 <br> Staff PD at beginning of <br> school year |
| Transitioned from C.O.S.T to C.A.R.E Team | Phase one roll out 2019-20 <br> WCCUSD C.A.R.E Team |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { process/protocol } \\ \text { Team meets bi-monthly } \\ \text { Data: Teacher Referral Data, } \\ \text { Attendance, Youth Truth } \\ \text { Survey }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Climate Team } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Phase one roll out, 2019-20 } \\ \text { WCCUSD support for } \\ \text { C.A.R.E Team } \\ \text { process/protocol } \\ \text { Team meets monthly }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Peer Tutoring - Homework Center Yata: Youth Truth Survey, } \\ \text { Suspension, Attendance, } \\ \text { Referrals }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { Training has occurred to } \\ \text { develop and implement a peer } \\ \text { tutoring program } \\ \text { Data: Academic Pass Rates }\end{array}\right\}$

As we develop each intervention, it will be important for us to identify specific student outcome data that we can evaluate, monitor and measure the extent we are meeting our objectives.

## Schoolwide Critical Areas for Follow Up \#3:

Staff will develop and implement a collaborative approach that aligns curriculum and instruction so that student performance is congruent throughout all forms of assessment (core academic pass rate, SBAC, and/or College Board).

| Academic <br> Performance: <br> Math | Distance <br> from <br> Standard <br> (DFS) | \# of <br> Students | Difference <br> from <br> previous year | Level of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $2018-19$ | 56.6 | 206 | 18.8 | Increased 18.8 <br> pts | Green |
| $2017-18$ | 75.4 | 205 | 4.4 | Increased 4.4 <br> pts | Yellow |


| Academic <br> Performance: <br> ELA | Distance From <br> Standard(DFS) | \# of <br> Students | Difference <br> from 2016-17 | Level of <br> Performance | Performance <br> Color |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2018-19 | 19.1 | 207 | -6.3 | Decline 6.3 pts | Yellow |
| $2017-18$ | 25.4 | 204 | -1.4 | Maintained | Yellow |

## Narrative \#3:

Over the last three years, the department of Teaching and Learning at the district level has initiated professional development for teachers as well as updated curriculum in math, science and English.

| Description of Specific Actions to address Critical Area \#3. | Supporting Evidence |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collaborative teaching practices - all staff share a subject taught <br> within their department. | Data: A-G Completion <br> Rate |
| Adoption of Springboard curriculum for 9th and 10th grade <br> English classes. <br> 11th and 12th grade use Springboard as supplemental <br> curriculum. | Data: SBAC ELA, |
| Math curriculum adoption for Geometry and Algebra II, | Data: SBAC math, |


| Pearson's enVision program |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Science: <br> Implementation of Next Generation Science Standards | Data: California Science <br> Test |
| Science Curriculum: Pearson Chemistry, 2008 edition, to the <br> NGSS, using the "A Correlation of Pearson Chemistry, ©2012 to <br> the Next Generation Science Standards, May 2013 Grades 9-12". <br> All chemistry classes transitioned to three-dimensional learning, <br> per our regional training in the spring of 2018. | A-G Completion Rate <br> Data: California Science <br> Test |
| Social Science: <br> Collaboration between department members is carried out <br> through informal face-to-face meetings, google applications and <br> email. In addition, courses that are taught by different teachers <br> have shared curriculum such as study guides | Data: California Science <br> Test |

## Areas that need to be strengthened follow up \#1:

Counseling staff will meet individually with all students and/or their parents annually to revisit, update and maintain the four-year plan, and will provide evidence of the meetings.

## Narrative \#1:

Throughout the last three years, our counselors have continued to counsel students individually as well as large and small-group presentations which include grade level classroom presentations. Individual students' 4-year plan is accessible through PowerSchool utilizing the "graduation progress" section, a feature that monitors each student's progression towards completion of graduation requirements. The Graduation Progress screen is updated automatically at the end of each semester, displaying the credits earned in each category, and the credits still needed toward graduation. Each student and parent has access to their individual PowerSchool account. Counselors and school administration have access to the entire school.

Counselors track student meetings in PowerSchool by submitting "Log Entries". In some cases, log entries are used to update email or phone contact with parents as well. Starting in November of 2019, counselors began to pilot the use of an online data collection program, HeroK12 (https://access.heropowered.com/school/track). Counselors enter meetings with individual students and track whether the topic for the meeting was high school counseling, college/career counseling, social/emotional counseling, or other. More accurate tracking would be possible with an automatic scan (similar to what is done when students enter the library). At this time, however, all log entries and entries into the HeroK12 database are done manually, as counselors are able.

See below for sample of daily report (meeting evidence):

| hers |  |  |  | ules High School 3/2/20 12:29 pm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily Activity Report |  |  |  |  |
| Behavior Code Selected: All Behavior Codes |  | Date Range: 3/2/2020 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Beharior Code: COLLEGE (Counselor Visit) Action: Financial Aid | Behavir ID: C. coulege Action Due: Nat Compliand | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 12:27 PM PST Comply Dato: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 15615701 |
| Sheheglove, Anna - 390677 - Girae: 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Beharior Code: HIOH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Schedule | Betavior ID: C _ HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Daie: 3/2/2020 12.16 PM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track io. 150151902 |
| Yum, Amasa 3asse - crate 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Behavior Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Selact an Option | Behavibr ID: C_ HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Dale: $3 / 2 / 2020$ 12:15 PM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10. 156151175 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Behavior Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Schedule | Behavior ID: C HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 12:14 PM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 156151113 |
| Gremer, Ayssa - 38938 - Crade :11 |  |  |  |  |
| Beharior Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Schedule | Behavior ID: C_HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 11:59 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Poirts: 0 Issued By: Medeiros | Treck 10: 158145356 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Eahavioe Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counsolor Visit) Action: Schedule | Bohavior ID: C HIGHSCH Action Dale: Not Complied | Entry Date: 3/2/2020 $11: 59$ AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mort Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 156445100 |
| Nontas vashan, Alowt . 3r3ag7 - Crate: 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Beharior Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Select an Option | Behavior ID: C HIGHSCH Action Dale: Nat Complied | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 11:54 AM PST Comply Dats: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By. Couto | Track 10: 156142378 |
| Vuong. Brenda - 332218 - Crade 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Behario: Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Schedule | Behavior ID: C_HIGHSCH Action Date: Net Complied | Entry Date: 3/2/2020 11:47 AM PST <br> Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 156140089 |
| Wassombol, Anip Kissle - 33910-Grae: 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Bohavio: Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Schedule | Behavior ID: C_HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Date: 3/2/2020 11:40 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Treck 10: 156137918 |
| Henle, daron - 35ssas - Crade : 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Behaviot Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Select an Option | Behavior ID: C_HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 11:27 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 <br> Issued By: Medeiros | Track 10: 156131770 |
| Mason, D momit - 372213 - Grade: 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Behariot Code: HIGH SCHOOL (Counselor Visit) Action: Solect an Option | Behavior ID: C_HIGHSCH Action Date: Not Compliod | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 11:26 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Nert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 156131642 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Beharior Code: COLLEGE (Counselor Visit) Action: Select an Option | Behavior ID: C_COLLEGE Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Dale: 3/2/2020 11:01 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Ment Puints: 0 <br> Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 1501199e9 |
| Phan, Tyer-317191-Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Behavior Code: COLLEGE (Counselor Visit) Action: Select an Option | Behavior ID: C_COLIEGE Action Date: Not Compled | Eniry Daie: 3/2/2020 10:36 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Poirts: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 156108885 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Behaioc Code COLEGE (Counsedir Viat) Action. Firmancial Aid | Behavior ID: C_COLLEGE Action Date: Not Complied | Entry Date: 3/2/2020 10:36 AM PST Comply Date: Not Complied | Mert Points: 0 Issued By: Couto | Track 10: 156108336 |

Areas that need to be strengthened follow up \#2:

Hercules HS needs to strengthen their EL program to ensure appropriate and rigorous English Learner development including equity of access to curriculum, differentiated instruction, and inclusion of all stakeholders, including parents.

## Narrative \#2:

| English Language Learner Classifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Academic <br> Year | EL 1 | EL2 | EL3 | EL4 | EL 5 | Total |
| $2019-20$ | 0 | 0 | 14 | 33 | 14 | 61 |
| $2018-19$ | 3 | 4 | 21 | 17 | 22 | 67 |
| $2017-18$ | 7 | 18 | 20 | 34 | 14 | 93 |

Over the last three years, our English Learner population has decreased resulting in the loss of EL1 and EL 2 courses. EL 3 and EL 4 continue to be placed in ELA courses. Students are mainstreamed into general education classes. General education teachers individualize and diversify instruction to meet the needs of our EL students.

## Areas that need to be strengthened follow up \#3:

After creating common assessments and trying new research-based instructional practices, HHS staff needs to collect, use and analyze data collaboratively and intentionally to increase student achievement.

## Narrative \#3:

The focus of our ILT has been to enhance the use of departmental collaboration to drive instructional practices using data driven decision making protocol. The ILT has been meeting twice a month throughout the 2019-20 school year. The intent of their work is to enhance the collaborative culture of assessing student needs and designing instructional strategies to focus on meeting individual student needs.

## Chapter V: Action Plan

Hercules High School remains focused on establishing an action plan that will propel the school towards achieving the objectives set forth in the school's vision and mission statements. The faculty, staff, students and parents of Hercules High School are committed to collaboratively providing a high quality education to every student through a holistic "response to intervention".

The data presented in Chapter 1, continues to be the focal point for all prescribed action items within the WASC Action Plan. The mid-term progress report action plan includes updated academic, community/demographic, climate and perceptive data.

All WASC goals are presented with data to support the rationale for each goal and to serve as a measure to monitor our progress for achieving each goal. The goals listed below represent updates on the three goals identified in the 2017 WASC Self Study.

Goal \# 1: Improve College and career readiness for all students.
Goal \# 2: Develop and execute a school-wide plan of interventions that will address the educational needs of individual students as well as struggling subgroups.

Goal \# 3: Develop and implement a collaborative approach that aligns curriculum and instruction so that student performance is congruent throughout all forms of assessment (core academic pass rate, SBAC, and/or College Board).

## Goal \# 1: Improve College and career readiness for all students.

Rationale: Self-Study findings indicate a need to improve college readiness for all student groups. SBAC math, 2019 overall scores results depict $38.38 \%$ of our students are college ready in mathematics. SBAC ELA, 2019 overall scores results depict $59.91 \%$ of our students are college ready in English Language Arts.

PSAT, 2019 results depict $22 \%$ of our $10^{\text {th }}$ grade students have met both ERW and math benchmarks. PSAT, 2019 results depict that $21 \%$ of our $11^{\text {th }}$ grade students have met both ERW and math benchmarks. The A-G successful completion four year trend stands at 59.6\%.

## Critical Learner Needs addressed:

\#1 Students underperforming in mathematics need support through personalized instruction in addition to targeted academic intervention throughout Algebra I, Geometry and Algebra II.
\#2 There need to be academic interventions that specifically target the African American and Hispanic male populations throughout all grade levels, beginning in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade.
\#3 Students need to monitor and update their individual 4-year plan throughout their high school years.
\#4 Students need more opportunities to explore career-oriented courses that provide a connection between real world application and core content areas (Math, English, Science and Social Science).
\#5 Students need to demonstrate college and career readiness in standardized test (PSAT/SAT \& SBAC) scores to support their transition into post-secondary educational institutions or the career/work field of their choosing without need for remediation.

Supporting Data/Evidence: CTE Pathway Enrollment, SBAC ELA/Math, AP Exam, A-G Pass Rates,

| PSAT, Fall 2019 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks | MEAN TOTAL SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met Math Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10th Grade(Hercules) | 22\% | 873 | 54\% | 450 | 22\% | 424 |
| 10th Grade'(State) | 30\% | 889 | 52\% | 446 | 31\% | 444 |
| 10th Grade(National) | 37\% | 925 | $61 \%$ | 467 | 39\% | 458 |
| 11th GradeHercules) | 21\% | 923 | 59\% | 475 | 21\% | 448 |
| 11th Grade(State) | 32\% | 961 | 57\% | 48.5 | 33\% | 476 |
| 11 th Grade(National) | 41\% | 1004 | 67\% | 509 | 42\% | 494 |


| Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results, 2019 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks |  | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12th Grade(Hercules) | 38\% | 1006 | 60\% | 511 | 41\% | 495 |
| 12th Grade (State) | 23\% | 935 | 43\% | 472 | 25\% | 463 |
| 12th Grade (National) | 22\% | 935 | 45\% | 476 | 25\% | 459 |


| Hercules High School <br> SBAC, 2019 ELA Overall <br> Scores | Standard <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 1 \%}$ |
| Hercules High School | Standard <br> SBAC, 2019 Math <br> Exceeded | Standard <br> Met | Standard <br> Nerall Scores | $\mathbf{1 3 . 7 4 \%}$ |
|  | Nearly Met | Standard <br> Not Met |  |  |

## Growth Target: (SMART Goal)

a) $10^{\text {th }}$ grade PSAT results will increase from $22 \%$ meeting both benchmarks in 2019 to $40 \%$ meeting both benchmarks by 2023 .
b) $11^{\text {th }}$ grade PSAT results will increase from $21 \%$ meeting both benchmarks in 2019 to $40 \%$ meeting both benchmarks by 2023 .
c) SBAC math results will increase from $38 \%$ meeting and exceeding standard to $40 \%$ meeting and exceeding math standards by 2023.
d) SBAC ELA results will increase from $59 \%$ meeting and exceeding standard to $70 \%$ meeting and exceeding standard by 2023.
e) A-G completion rates will increase from 59.6 to $65 \%$ by the end of 2023 school year.

SLOs Addressed: Critical Thinkers, Effective Engaged Communicators, and Responsible Citizens

| Monitor Progress (Measureable Assessment Tools) | Report Progress (Communication, share out, etc) |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBAC (ELA/Math) | School Site Council |
| PSAT/SAT results | Faculty Meeting |
| A-G Completion Rates | Parents/Students |
|  | Professional Learning Collaboration Sessions |


| Description of Specific Actions to Improve College Readiness among all students. | MEANS TO ASSESS IMPROVEMENT | TIMELINE | RESPONSIBLE <br> PERSON(S) <br> INVOLVED | Means to Monitor and Report Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continue to expand course offerings in career pathways/courses to enhance career opportunities (specifically Health careers and computer science). | The addition and sustainability of CTE courses offered and populated in master schedule. | Ongoing throughout next three years | admin, teachers, counselors | Staff Meetings School Site Council Master Schedule <br> ILT Meetings |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|ll|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Schedule Career Day every other year. } \\ \text { Fall of 2020, Spring, 2022 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Post-Career } \\ \text { Survey/Evaluation of the day } \\ \text { that determines effectiveness } \\ \text { of the presentations. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Ongoing } \\ \text { throughout } \\ \text { next three } \\ \text { years }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Teachers } \\ \text { Counselors }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Parent Teacher } \\ \text { Organization }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Faculty Meetings } \\ \text { (Department Meetings \& } \\ \text { Professional } \\ \text { Development) }\end{array} \\ \text { School Site Council }\end{array}\right]$

Impact on student learning: By monitoring and updating individual 4-year plan yearly, students will be able to select a course of study that prepares them for their individual post-high school plans. Furthermore, students will have the opportunity to explore careeroriented courses that provide a connection between real world application and core content areas. Lastly, these action steps will have a direct positive impact with steady progress towards all students passing individual classes with C or better. All students are expected to perform at a college/career readiness level.

## Goal \# 2: Develop and execute a school-wide plan of interventions that will address the educational needs of individual students as well as struggling subgroups.

Rationale: Self-Study findings indicate a need to establish and maintain academic support mechanisms to address the educational needs of individual students as well as struggling subgroups. The 4 -year average of A-G completion stands at $59.6 \%$ of our graduating seniors have successfully completed the necessary requirements to enter the UC/CSU system.

## Critical Learner Needs addressed:

\#1 Students underperforming in mathematics need support through personalized instruction in addition to targeted academic intervention throughout Algebra I, Geometry and Algebra II.
\#2 There needs to be academic interventions that specifically target the African American and Hispanic male populations throughout all grade levels, beginning in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade.
\#3 Students need to demonstrate college and career readiness in PSAT/SAT performance scores to support and enhance their transition into post-secondary educational institutions or the career/work field of their choosing without need for remediation.

## Supporting Data/Evidence: UC/CSU A-G Gender/Subgroup completion rate,

| UC/CSU A-G Gender | Graduates Successfully Completing all Courses Required for UC/CSU Entrance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian |  | African American |  | Filipino |  | Hispanic |  | White |  |
|  | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| 2018-19 | 84.2\% | 66.7\% | 50.0\% | 30.8\% | 74.2\% | 79.2\% | 59.3\% | 66.7\% |  |  |
| 2017-18 | 73.9\% | 72.7\% | 65.2\% | 37.5\% | 92.9\% | 72.4\% | 67.7\% | 29.4\% |  |  |
| 2016-17 | 69.6\% | 91.3\% | 44.7\% | 37.5\% | 73.2\% | 51.4\% | 63.6\% | 30.4\% |  | 63.6\% |
| 2015-16 | 69.6\% | 71.0\% | 48.4\% | 31.4\% | 69.0\% | 54.3\% | 57.1\% | 36.4\% | 83.3\% | 28.6\% |
| 4 Year Avg. | 74.3\% | 75.4\% | 52.1\% | 34.3\% | 77.3\% | 64.3\% | 61.9\% | 40.7\% | 83.3\% | 46.1\% |
| UC/CSU A-G | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Gender | As | ian | African | American | Fili | pino | Hisp | Janic | Wh | hite |


| A-G PASS RATES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic <br> Year | Number of <br> graduates | \# Students <br> Passed Course <br> Requirements | \% Students <br> Passed Course <br> Requirements |
| 2019 | 207 | 129 | $62.32 \%$ |
| 2018 | 224 | 145 | $64.73 \%$ |
| 2017 | 262 | 149 | $56.87 \%$ |
| 2016 | 242 | 132 | $54.55 \%$ |
| Four Year <br> Trend | 233.75 | 138.75 | $59.6 \%$ |

## Growth Target: (SMART Goal)

a) The 4 -year A-G results will increase from $59.6 \%$ to $80 \%$ by the end of June, 2023.
b) The African American male subgroup 4-year A-G completion rate will increase from $34.3 \%$ to $44 \%$ by the end of June, 2023.
c) The Hispanic male subgroup 4-year a-G completion rate will increase from $40.7 \%$ to $50 \%$ by the end of June 2023.
d) The African American female subgroup 4 year A-G completion rate will increase from $52.1 \%$ to $62 \%$ by the end of June 2023.
e) The Hispanic female subgroup 4-year A-G completion rate will increase from $61.9 \%$ to $71 \%$ by the end of June 2023.
f) The number of students who are referred to Alternative Education due to lack of progress toward graduation will decrease by $10 \%$ by the June of 2021.

SLO's Addressed: Critical Thinkers, Effective Engaged Communicators, and Responsible Citizens

| Monitor Progress (Measureable Assessment Tools) | Report Progress (Communication, share out, etc) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Pass Rates : D's \& F's List | School Site Council |
| SBAC 2019, Math \& English | Faculty Meeting |
| A-G 4 year trend completion rates | Staff Collaboration Sessions |
| Climate Data: Discipline Logs, Suspend-able Offenses, Attendance <br> Rate | Parent Teacher Organizations |


| Description of Specific Actions to increase the academic performance of underperforming students. | MEANS TO ASSESS IMPROVEMENT | TIMELINE | RESPONSIBLE <br> PERSON(S) <br> INVOLVED | Means to <br> Monitor and <br> Report Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peer Tutoring/Homework Center- <br> M, T, Th - 3:30-4:30pm | Quarter Grades <br> Progress Reports <br> Semester Grades <br> Reports <br> Sign In Sheets | Ongoing: Reassess at the end of each semester. | Achieve Learning Administration | Staff Meeting <br> Professional <br> Learning <br> Collaboration |
| Edgenuity Credit Recovery: Extend learning opportunities to students in need of credit recovery as identified through transcript analysis and the local review process. | - Review of HS transcripts and successful completion of all graduation requirements. <br> - Semester grades will be analyzed and opportunities for Edgenuity (Credit Recovery) will be offered. | Ongoing: Reassess after each semester. | District Office <br> Counselors <br> Administration <br> Parents | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> (Department <br>  <br> Professional <br> Development) <br> School Site Council |


| Review all support and intervention programs currently in place and determine a system to regularly assess their effectiveness. <br> - Identify at risk students at Coordination Access Resource Equity (C.A.R.E) Team meetings. <br> - Offer personal/emotional counseling as needed. <br> - Arrange meetings with teachers, parents, students, admin, and school psych to determine recommended individual needs/interventions. | Collection of current programs <br> School wide schedule of tutorial offerings | Ongoing throughout next three years | Administration <br> Department Chairs <br> Health Center <br> Academic Counselors <br> Security Team | Staff Meeting <br> Professional <br> Learning <br> Collaboration Sessions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Focus Group Meetings <br> - 9th and $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade Males with 1 or more D or F in core classes (Math, English \&/or Science). <br> - 9th and $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade Females with 1 or more D or F in core classes (Math, English \&/or Science). | Quarter Grades <br> Progress Reports <br> Semester Grades <br> Reports <br> Sign In Sheets <br> Meeting Agendas | Ongoing: Reassess at the end of each semester | Administration, <br> Health Center Youth <br> Development <br> Coordinator <br> College \& Career <br> Counselor | Staff Meeting <br> Professional <br> Learning <br> Collaboration |
| Examine student access of teachers' tutorial sessions and how to enhance the effectiveness for students. | Sign In Sheets <br> Teacher Tutorial Sessions | Ongoing throughout next three years | Department Chairs <br> All Teachers <br> Administration | Department Chair Meetings <br> Professional Learning Sessions |


| English Learner Support/Interventions: <br> - Continue to conduct cross curricular department meetings to monitor how EL students are doing in all A-G classes. <br> - EL strategies are shared with mainstream instructors to ensure school-wide continuity. <br> - Calendar a push-in schedule for grad assistant to support EL students in mainstream classes. <br> - Calendar a grad-tutor assistant to support EL students in EL sections. <br> - Maintain 32-35 students per SL sections. | Push In Schedule RL Lesson Plans Grad Tutor Schedule Tutoring Schedule | 2018-19 <br> \& Ongoing throughout next six years | EL Teacher Grad Tutor Administration | Professional <br> Learning Collaboration <br> School Site Council <br> Professional <br> Learning <br> Collaboration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Education <br> - Continued collaboration with the general education teachers to improve implementation of Individualized Education Program. <br> - Case Managers use technology to access materials from all of the core classes to support them in Tutorial class. <br> - Implement student-centered activities. <br> - Monitor sections with SPED students and keep to minimal to decrease student to teacher ratio. | Department Meeting Minutes <br> Lesson Plans <br> Professional Learning Opportunities <br> IEP Goals and <br> Progress Reports <br> Student's Progress <br> Reports <br> Improved Grades | Ongoing: Reassess at the end of each semester. | Department Chair and Case Managers | Department Chairs <br> Department Binders <br> Tutorial Schedule <br> Lesson Plans <br> Professional <br> Learning <br> Collaboration |


| Math Intervention Program - | Algebra I - 8 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| grade pass |  |  |  |  |
| Explore alternatives to students who have not earned |  |  |  |  |
| a C or above in Math 8. |  | 2018-19 <br> \& Ongoing <br> throughout <br> next six years | Teachers <br> Counselors <br> Administrators | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> (Department <br>  <br> Professional <br> Development) <br> School Site Council |

Impact on student learning: Response to intervention is schoolwide throughout all grade levels in all courses. Students' progress will be continuously monitored to assess each individual's academic performance throughout each grading period. All students are expected to successfully demonstrate academic excellence in common core disciplines.

Goal \# 3: Develop and implement a collaborative approach that aligns curriculum and instruction so that student performance is congruent throughout all forms of assessment (academic pass rate, SBAC, and/or College Board).

Rationale: According to data presented in 2019 self-study, there are inconsistencies among student performance data in terms of academic pass rates versus norm referenced, standardized assessments.

- $58 \%$ of 10 th grade students earned a 3.0 and or above at the end of the 1 st quarter of 2019 , while $22 \%$ of these students' met/exceeded Math and English benchmarks ("college-ready") on PSAT, 2019 scores.
- $67 \%$ of 11th grade students earned a 3.0 and or above at the end the 1st quarter of 2019 while $21 \%$ of these students were deemed to be college ready according to PSAT, 2019 scores.
- $53 \%$ of 12 th grade students earned a 3.0 and or above at the end the 1st quarter of 2019 while $38 \%$ of these students were deemed to be college ready according to SAT, 2019 scores.


## Critical Learner Needs addressed:

\# 1 Students in AP courses need targeted instruction to prepare for successful completion of AP exam.
\# 2 Students need targeted instruction in order to demonstrate depth of knowledge (DOK) in all content areas.
\# 3 Students need to demonstrate academic readiness on norm referenced, standardized exams.
Supporting 2016 Data/Evidence: Academic Pass Rates, A-G Completion Rate, PSAT, Honor Roll, D's \& F's List.

| PSAT, Fall 2019 | Percent Met Both Benchmarks | MEAN TOTAL SCORE | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10th Grade(Hercules) | 22\% | 873 | 54\% | 450 | 22\% | 424 |
| 10th Grade(State) | 30\% | 889 | 52\% | 446 | 31\% | 444 |
| 10th Grade(National) | 37\% | 925 | 61\% | 467 | 39\% | 458 |
| 11th GradeHercules) | 21\% | 923 | 59\% | 475 | 21\% | 448 |
| 11th Grade(Stale) | 32\% | 961 | 57\% | 485 | 33\% | 476 |
| 11th Grade(National) | 41\% | 1004 | 67\% | 509 | 42\% | 494 |


| Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Results, 2019 | Percent Met <br> Both <br> Benchmarks |  | Percent Met ERW <br> Benchmarks | Evidence <br> Based <br>  <br> Writing <br> (ERW) | Percent Met <br> Math <br> Benchmarks | Mean <br> Math |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12th Grade (Hercules) | 38\% | 1006 | 60\% | 511 | 41\% | 495 |
| 12th Grade (State) | 23\% | 935 | 43\% | 472 | 25\% | 463 |
| 12th Grade (National) | 22\% | 935 | 45\% | 476 | 25\% | 459 |


| A-G PASS RATES |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic <br> Year | Number of <br> graduates | \# Students <br> Passed Course <br> Requirements | \% Students <br> Passed Course <br> Requirements |
| 2019 | 207 | 129 | $62.32 \%$ |
| 2018 | 224 | 145 | $64.73 \%$ |
| 2017 | 262 | 149 | $56.87 \%$ |
| 2016 | 242 | 132 | $54.55 \%$ |
| Four Year <br> Trend | 233.75 | 138.75 | $59.6 \%$ |

Ways of Assessing Progress: Instructional Leadership Team professional development sessions and feedback forms, end of semester teacher and student surveys, teacher observation/walkthrough forms. Department meeting minutes and agendas from professional development

## Objectives:

- Hercules High School ILT will meet twice a month to focus on implementing relevant and meaningful professional learning opportunities for all staff.
- All departments will meet at least twice a month to coordinate their efforts on improving student achievement through data driven instruction, common assessments, and curriculum mapping.
- As a staff, we will explore opportunities through professional learning communities that allow staff to share current research based instructional strategies (best practices).


## Growth Target: (SMART Goal)

a) $10^{\text {th }}$ grade PSAT results will increase from $22 \%$ meeting both benchmarks in 2019 to $40 \%$ meeting both benchmarks by 2023 .
b) $11^{\text {th }}$ grade PSAT results will increase from $21 \%$ meeting both benchmarks in 2019 to $40 \%$ meeting both benchmarks by 2023 .
c) SBAC math results will increase from $38 \%$ meeting and exceeding standard to $40 \%$ meeting and exceeding math standards by 2023.
d) SBAC ELA results will increase from $59 \%$ meeting and exceeding standard to $70 \%$ meeting and exceeding standard by 2023.

SLO's Addressed: Critical Thinkers and Effective Engaged Communicators

| Monitor Progress (Measureable Assessment Tools) | Report Progress (Communication, share out, etc) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Department Binders | Instructional Leadership Minutes \& Agenda's |
| Professional Development Calendar | Faculty Meeting |
| Local: Academic Pass Rates, A-G Completion | School Site Council, Parent Teacher Organizations |
| SBAC Results | Student Senate |


| Description of Specific Actions to Improve collaborative approach to align curriculum and instruction. | MEANS TO ASSESS IMPROVEMENT | TIMELINE | RESPONSIBLE <br> PERSON(S) <br> INVOLVED | Means to Monitor and Report Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implement a site based professional development plan that: <br> - Identifies school wide strategies <br> - Provides technology resources <br> - Builds capacity from within via peer to peer collaboration and the sharing of best practices | Professional development calendar <br> Minutes from ILT meetings. <br> Lesson Plans - implementation of technology <br> Course Syllabus - common agreements, common assessments | Ongoing throughout next three years | Instructional Leadership Team, Administration | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> ILT Collaborations <br> School Site Council |
| Identify a school-wide focus that allows all departments and grade level cohorts to work together to achieve success in that area. | Department Binders and Minutes. <br> Individual Teacher Lesson Plans <br> Department Sign In Sheets <br> Instructional Leadership Minutes. <br> Collaboration Schedule | Reassessed at the end of each school year. | Instructional <br> Leadership Team <br> Departments <br> Staff <br> Administration | Faculty Meetings Staff Collaborations ILT Collaborations School Site Council |


|  | SSC - updates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additional Staff Training - In-service Day "retreat" focused on selected targeted instructional strategies that address DOK, the school-wide focus, and $21^{\text {st }}$ Century instructional strategies. <br> - We will request that departments/grade level cohorts share best practices of targeted instructional strategies pertaining to the selected School-Wide Focus | Department Binders and Minutes. <br> Individual Teacher Lesson Plans <br> Department Sign In Sheets <br> Instructional Leadership Minutes. <br> Collaboration Schedule SSC - updates | Continuously monitored throughout each school year. | Instructional Leadership Team <br> Departments <br> Staff <br> Administration | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> ILT Collaborations <br> School Site Council |
| Implement cycles of inquiry to review the effectiveness of instructional practices that address DOK, which would include <br> - The analysis of classroom assessment and standardized test data <br> - A common protocol for reviewing data <br> - Plan for re-teaching and instruction modification | Department Binders and Minutes. <br> Individual Teacher Lesson Plans <br> Department Sign In Sheets <br> Instructional Leadership Minutes. <br> Collaboration Schedule <br> SSC - updates | Continuously monitored throughout each school year. | Instructional <br> Leadership Team <br> Departments <br> Staff <br> Administration | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> ILT Collaborations <br> School Site Council |


|  | Instructional Rounds Checklist |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilize instructional rounds, strategic collaboration and other applicable professional learning opportunities that support our school's academic goals and school-wide focus. | Department Binders and Minutes. <br> Log Sheets of Instructional Rounds <br> Department Sign In Sheets <br> Instructional Leadership Minutes. <br> Collaboration Schedule SSC - updates <br> Instructional Rounds Checklist | Continuously monitored throughout each school year. | Instructional <br> Leadership Team <br> Departments <br> Staff <br> Administration | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> ILT Collaborations <br> School Site Council |
| Develop common assessments (formative/summative) within departments (Math, English, Social Science, Science and World Language) | Department Binders and Minutes. <br> Individual Teacher Lesson Plans <br> Copies of common assessments per course <br> Department Sign In Sheets <br> Instructional Leadership Minutes. <br> Collaboration Schedule | Continuously monitored throughout each school year. | Instructional <br> Leadership Team <br> Departments <br> Staff <br> Administration | Faculty Meetings <br> Staff Collaborations <br> ILT Collaborations <br> School Site Council |


|  | SSC - updates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Review current instructional practices <br> and implement additional best <br> practices, especially those designed to <br> support struggling students. <br> $\bullet \quad$Implement instructional <br> strategies to improve student <br> achievement within courses. | Peer Observations <br> Administration Drop In's <br> Lesson Plans | Ongoing: Re- <br> assess at the <br> end of each <br> semester. | Administration | All Teachers <br> Arofessional Learning <br> Sessions |

Impact on student learning: Through a collaborative site based professional development instructional strategies will be more aligned with common core standards for teaching and learning. Sharing of best practices will allow all teachers to develop effective teaching styles that will develop higher order thinking skills among all students focused on preparing them for college/career readiness in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century. Through regularly scheduled and planned collaboration, teachers will take on a shared responsibility to focus on school performance, quality classroom instruction, and implementation of new initiatives focused on meeting the academic needs of each student. Retention of knowledge will be equally demonstrated outside of the classroom through assessments as well as within the classroom environment.


[^0]:    Source:PowerSchool, 8/24/19

[^1]:    Source: PowerSchool Database 9/21/19

[^2]:    Source: caschooldasboard.org

